arXiv:1005.2053v2 [math-ph] 3 Feb 2011

Algebraic curves in Birkhoff strata of Sato Grassmannian

B.G. Konopelchenko [1] and G. Ortenzi [2]

[1] Dipartimento di Fisica, Università del Salento and INFN, Sezione di Lecce, 73100 Lecce, Italy

[2] Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicazioni, Università di Milano Bicocca, 20125 Milano, Italy

`konopel@le.infn.it` and `giovanni.ortenzi@unimib.it`

February 4, 2011

Abstract

Algebro-geometric structures arising in Birkhoff strata of Sato Grassmannian are analyzed. It is shown that each Birkhoff stratum Σ_S contains a closed subset $W_{\widehat{S}}$ which algebraically is an infinite family of infinite-dimensional commutative associative algebras and geometrically it is an infinite tower of families of algebraic curves. For the big cell the subset $W_{\varnothing}$ represents the tower of families of normal rational (Veronese) curves of all orders. For W_1 it is the family of coordinate rings for elliptic curves. For higher strata, the subsets $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ represent families of plane $(n+1, n+2)$ curves (trigonal curves at $n = 2$) and space curves of genus n and $\text{index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2,\dots,n}}) = -n$. Two methods of regularization of singular curves contained in $W_{\widehat{S}}$, namely, the standard blowing-up and transition to higher strata with the change of genus are discussed.

Cohomological and Poisson structures associated with the subsets $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ are considered. It is shown that the tangent bundles of $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ are isomorphic to the linear spaces of $2-$coboundaries, special class of which is provided by the systems of integrable quasilinear PDEs. For the big cell it is the dKP hierarchy. It is demonstrated also that the families of ideals for algebraic varieties in $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ can be viewed as the Poisson ideals. This observation establishes a connection between families of algebraic curves in $W_{\widehat{S}}$ and coisotropic deformations of such curves of zero and nonzero genus described by hierarchies of hydrodynamical type systems like dKP hierarchy. Interrelation between cohomological and Poisson structures is noted.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Birkhoff strata and index($\overline{\partial}_{W_{\widehat{S}}}$) **3**

3 Big cell $\Sigma_\varnothing$. Families of normal rational (Veronese) curves **4**

4 Stratum Σ_0. Family of centered normal rational curves **8**

5 Stratum Σ_1. Elliptic curve and its coordinate ring. **9**

6 Stratum Σ_1. Weierstrass function reduction **13**

7 Stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$. Trigonal curve of genus two **15**

8 Higher strata. Plane $(n+1, n+2)$ curves **18**

9 Birkhoff strata for Gr$^{(2)}$ and hyperelliptic curves **19**

10 Resolution of singularities and transitions between strata **22**

11 Tangent cohomology of varieties $W_{1,2,\ldots,n}$ and systems of integrable quasilinear PDEs **24**

12 Families of curves, Poisson ideals and coisotropic deformations **26**

13 Conclusion **29**

A Appendix. Curves in Birkhoff strata **30**

B Appendix. Big cell $\Sigma_\varnothing$ **30**

C Appendix. Stratum Σ_1 **31**

D Appendix. Stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$ **33**

References **35**

1 Introduction

Algebraic curves in finite-dimensional Grassmannians is a classical subject in algebraic geometry and other branches of mathematics (see e.g. [1]-[11]). In contrast, the story of interplay between infinite-dimensional Grassmannians and algebraic curves was quite non-classical.

Interest of recent years to infinite-dimensional Grassmannians is mainly due to the Sato's papers [12, 13]. He demonstrated that the Kadomtsev-Petviashvilii (KP) hierarchy and hierarchies of other nonlinear partial differential equations integrable by the inverse scattering transform method discovered in [14] (see e.g. [15, 16]), have a beautiful geometrical interpretation in terms of special infinite-dimensional Grassmannian (Sato Grassmannian). Since the papers [12, 13] Sato Grassmannian became a powerful tool in many branches of mathematics and theoretical and mathematical physics from algebraic geometry to quantum field theory, string theory, and theory of integrable equations (see e.g. [17]-[30]).

Significance of algebraic curves in the construction of solutions of integrable equations has been understood in the middle of seventies (see e.g. [31, 32]). In particular Krichever [33, 34] demonstrated that for any complex algebraic curve (with some additional data) one can construct a (Baker-Akhiezer) function ψ which obeys a compatible pair of linear differential equations. Compatibility of these equations is equivalent to a nonlinear integrable equation, for instance, to the KP equation. After the Sato's results [12, 13] on the identification of solutions of integrable equations with subspaces W in Grassmannian it became clear that the correspondence discovered in [33, 34] can be extended to a map between algebraic curves and subspaces in Sato Grassmannian [19]. This paper of Segal and Wilson and the paper [23] coined the name of Krichever map (correspondence) for such a map.

Since then the Krichever map, its inversion and extensions have been studied within different contexts in a number of papers (see e.g. [35, 24],[36]-[44]). In particular, in the papers [35, 24, 36] it was shown that, the so-called Schur pair (A, W) plays a central role in the construction and analysis of Krichever map. With all the diversity of the results obtained, the constructions associated with the Krichever map share a common feature. It is the fact that an algebraic curve, though closely connected, is an object exterior to Sato Grassmannian. It seems that there are very few results concerning the study of algebraic curves in Sato Grassmannian itself. We note the study of rational curves in Gr_1 and in Schur cells of $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ [19] (section 7) and brief analysis of hyperelliptic curves in Birkhoff strata of $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ [45].

In the present paper we will follow a classical way adopted in [19, 45] and we will look for algebraic curves inside Sato Grassmannian itself. Our main result is that each Birkhoff stratum $\Sigma_{1,2,\dots,n}$ of the Sato Grassmannian Gr contains a subset $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ closed with respect to pointwise multiplication. Algebraically all $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ are infinite families of infinite dimensional associative commutative algebras with $n+1$ generators. Geometrically each point of $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is an algebraic variety and the whole $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is an algebraic *ind*-variety with each finite-dimensional subvariety being a family of algebraic curves. For the big cell $\Sigma_\varnothing$ the variety $W_\varnothing$ is the collection of families of normal rational curves (Veronese curves) of the all orders $2,3,4,\dots$. For the stratum Σ_1, each point of the subset W_1 is the coordinate ring of the elliptic curve and W_1 is equivalent to the infinite family of such rings. Set $W_{1,2}$ is equivalent to the families of coordinate rings of a special space curve with pretty interesting properties. This family of curves in $W_{1,2}$ contains plane trigonal curve of genus two and $\mathrm{index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2}})=-2$. We conjecture that the closed subspaces $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ in higher strata $\Sigma_{1,2,\dots,n}$ $(n=3,4,5,\dots)$ have similar properties. In particular, $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ contains plane $(n+1,n+2)$ curve of genus n and $\mathrm{index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2,\dots,n}})=-n$.

It is shown, that the projections of basic algebraic curves in each stratum to lower dimensional subspaces are given by singular higher order curves. Two ways of their regularization are discussed. The first is the standard blow-up by quadratic transformation within the same stratum without change of genus. The second way consists in transition to the higher stratum. In such a regularization procedure genus of a curve increases.

Local and Poisson structures associated with the subspaces $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ are discussed. It is shown that the tangent bundles of $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ and $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ modules $E_{1,2,\dots,n}$ are isomorphic to the linear spaces of 2−coboundaries and Harrison's cohomology modules $H^2(W,E)$ and $H^3(W,E)$ vanish. Special classes of 2−cocycles and 2−coboundaries are described by the systems of integrable quasilinear PDEs. For example, a class of 2−coboundaries associated with the subspace $W_\varnothing$ in the big cell is provided by the dispersionless KP (dKP) hierarchy.

We give also an interpretation of the families of ideals $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ for families of algebraic curves in $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ as the Poisson ideals. It is shown that the family of ideals for the family of normal rational curves in the big cell is the Poisson ideal with respect to a Poisson structure obeying certain constraints. Two sets of canonical variables in such Poisson ideals are used. It is demonstrated that in the Darboux coordinates the above constraints are nothing else than the dKP hierarchy. Similar results remain valid for other strata too.

Finally an interrelation between cohomological and Poisson structures of $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is observed.

2 Birkhoff strata and $\mathrm{index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_{\widehat{S}}})$

Here we recall briefly basic facts about Sato Grassmannian and its stratifications (see e.g. [19, 20]).

Let $H=\mathbb{C}((z))$ be the set of all formal Laurent series with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ and $H_+=\mathbb{C}[z]$ is the set of all formal polynomials in z. Sato Grassmannian Gr is the set of closed vector subspaces $W\subset H$ such that the projection $W\to H_+$ is Fredholm. Each $W\subset Gr$ possesses an algebraic basis $(w_0(z),w_1(z),\dots)$ with the basis elements

$$w_n=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{n}a_iz^i \tag{1}$$

of finite order n. The set W_{fin} of elements in Gr of finite order is dense.

Grassmannian Gr is a connected Banach manifold which exhibits a stratified structure [20]. To describe this structure one introduces the set $\mathcal{I}$. It is the family of all sets $S\subset\mathbb{Z}$ which are bounded from below and contain all sufficiently high integers. The canonical form of such S is

$$S=\{s_0,s_1,s_2\dots\} \tag{2}$$

such that $s_0<s_1<s_2<\dots$ and $s_n=n$ for large n. Then for the subspace $W\subset Gr$ one defines

$$S_W=\{s\in\mathcal{I}:\ W\text{contains elements of order s}\}. \tag{3}$$

Given $S\in\mathcal{I}$ the subset Σ_S of Gr defined by

$$\Sigma_S=\{W\in Gr:\ S_W=S\} \tag{4}$$

is called the Birkhoff stratum associated with the set S. The closure of Σ_S (Birkhoff variety) is an infinite-dimensional irreducible *ind*-variety of the finite codimension $l(s)=\sum_{k\geq 0}(k-s_k)$. In particular, if $S=\{0,1,2,\dots\}$ the corresponding stratum has codimension zero and it is a dense open subset of Gr which is called the principal stratum or big cell. Lower Birkhoff strata correspond to the sets S of type (3) different from $\{0,1,2,\dots\}$. For instance, the set $S=\{-1,0,2,3,4,\dots\}$ corresponds to stratum Σ_1, while the set $\{-2,-1,0,3,4,\dots\}$ is associated with $\Sigma_{1,2}$. Here and below, for convenience, we will use also the notation $\Sigma_{\widehat{S}}$ fir the Birkhoff strata where $\widehat{S}=\{\mathbb{N}-S\}$ denotes a set of holes in the positive part of S with respect to $\mathbb{N}$. Note that Grassmannian Gr has also the Schubert or Bruhat decomposition which is dual to Birkhoff stratification. Schubert cells C_S are subsets of the elements of the form $\sum_{k=-N}^{N} b_k z^k$ numerated by the same sets S as Birkhoff strata and have finite dimensions $l(s)$. Schubert cell C_S and Birkhoff stratum Σ_S intersect transversally in a single point.

Schubert varieties in finite and infinite dimensional Grassmannians have been studied pretty well while it seems that the Birkhoff varieties have attracted considerable interest mainly within the theory of integrable systems (with few exceptions (see e.g. [46])). It was shown in [13, 47] that the flows generated by the standard KP hierarchy belong to the big cell. On the other hand, singular solutions of the KP hierarchy for which the τ-function and its derivatives vanish, are associated with higher strata. A method of desingularization of wave functions near blowup locus (Birkhoff strata) has been proposed in [47]. In the papers [48, 49, 50] it was demonstrated that there are infinite hierarchies of integrable equations associated with each Birkhoff strata.

In addition to algebraic and geometrical aspects the Birkhoff stratification exhibits also an interesting analytic structure. It was observed in [19] (section 7.3) that the Laurent series (1) are the boundary values of certain functions $\Omega = \mathbb{C} - \mathcal{D}_\infty$ where $\mathcal{D}_\infty$ is a small disk around the point $z = \infty$. Formalizing these observations Witten [22] suggested to view Sato Grassmannian as the space of boundary conditions for the $\overline{\partial}$ operator. Namely, let $\mathcal{H}$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions $w(z, \overline{z})$ with respect to the bilinear form

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \iint_{\Omega} \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{2\pi i} u(z, \overline{z}) v(z, \overline{z}). \tag{5}$$

Then, given $W \in Gr$ there is an associated domain $\mathcal{D}_W$ on $\mathcal{H}$ for $\overline{\partial}$ given by those functions w for which $\overline{\partial} w \in \mathcal{H}$ and such that their boundary values $S_\infty = \partial \mathcal{D}_\infty$ are in W. This elliptic boundary value problem is defined correctly if $\overline{\partial}$ is the skew-symmetric operator, i.e.

$$\langle v, \overline{\partial} u \rangle = -\langle \overline{\partial} v, u \rangle, \forall u \in \mathcal{D}_W, \ \forall v \in \mathcal{D}_{\widetilde{W}} \tag{6}$$

where $\widetilde{W}$, the dual of an element W in Gr, as the space of formal Laurent series $u(z)$ of $z \in S_\infty$, obeys the condition

$$\int_{S_\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} v(z) u(z) = 0, \quad \forall u \in W. \tag{7}$$

Let $\overline{\partial}_W$ denotes the $\overline{\partial}$ operator acting on the domain $\mathcal{D}_\infty$. The index of this operator is defined (see e.g. [22])

$$\text{index } \overline{\partial}_W = \dim(\ker \overline{\partial}_W) - \dim(\text{coker} \overline{\partial}_W). \tag{8}$$

Taking into account that for given S_W one has $S_{\widetilde{W}} = \{-n | n \notin S_W\}$, one finds [50]

$$\text{index } \overline{\partial}_W = \text{card}(S_W - \mathbb{N}) - \text{card}(S_{\widetilde{W}} - \mathbb{N}). \tag{9}$$

where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

For the hidden KP hierarchies the index of the $\overline{\partial}$ operator has been calculated in [50].

3 Big cell $\Sigma_\varnothing$. Families of normal rational (Veronese) curves

We begin with the principal stratum $\Sigma_\varnothing$. Since in this case the basis (1) is composed by the Laurent series of all positive order $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ there exists a canonical basis $\{p_0, p_1, p_2, \dots\}$ in $\Sigma_\varnothing$ with the basis elements of the form

$$p_i(z) = z^i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_k^i}{z^k}, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \tag{10}$$

Basis elements (10) are parameterized by the infinite set of arbitrary $H_k^i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Points of $\Sigma_\varnothing$ are represented by the subspaces which are spans of $\{p_0(z), p_1(z), p_2(z), \dots\}$ with fixed all H_k^i. $\Sigma_\varnothing$ itself is a family of such subspaces parameterized by H_k^j.

In this paper we will study particular situations when such subspaces have a specific algebraic property, namely, when they admit a multiplication of elements. The following Lemma is the starting point of the analysis.

Lemma 3.1 *Laurent series $p_i(z)$ (10) with fixed H_k^i obey the equations*

$$p_j(z) p_k(z) = \sum_{l=0} C_{jk}^l p_l(z), \qquad j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{11}$$

if and only if the parameters H_k^i satisfy the constraints

$$H_j^0 = 0, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{12}$$

and

$$H^{j+k}_m - H^j_{m+k} - H^k_{j+m} + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} H^k_{j-l} H^l_m + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} H^j_{k-l} H^l_m - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} H^k_{m-l} H^j_l = 0, \qquad j,k,m = 1,2,3,\dots . \tag{13}$$

Proof Let us require that Laurent series (10) obey the condition (11). Comparing the coefficients in front of positive powers of z in both sides of (11), one concludes that

$$C^l_{jk} = \delta^l_{j+k} + H^k_{j-l} + H^j_{k-l}, \qquad j,k = 0,1,2,3,\dots \tag{14}$$

and all $H^0_j = 0$. Counting of negative powers of z gives the relations (13). The conditions (12) and (13) obviously are also the sufficient one. □

Note that in this case $p_0 = 1$ and the conditions $p_0 p_i(z) = p_i(z)$ are identically satisfied.

Lemma (3.1) has an immediate consequence.

Proposition 3.2 *The big cell* $\Sigma_\varnothing$ *contains the subset* $W_\varnothing$ *closed with respect to pointwise multiplication, i.e. for any fixed* H^j_k *obeying (12) and (13) and any two* $q_1(z,H), q_2(z,H) \in W_\varnothing$ *their product* $q_1(z,H)q_2(z,H) = q_3(z,H) \in W_\varnothing$*. This subset* $W_\varnothing$ *is an infinite family of infinite-dimensional commutative associative algebras.*

Proof The conditions (11) guarantees that for any two elements $q_1 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \alpha_j p_j(z,H), q_1 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta_j p_j(z,H)$ with fixed H^j_k obeying the constraints (12) and (13), the product $q_1 q_2$ is of the form $\sum_{j=0}^\infty \gamma_j p_j(z,H)$ with some γ_j. Equations (11) represent the table of multiplication for a commutative algebra with the basis $(1, p_1, p_2, \dots)$ and the structure coefficients C^j_{kl} (14) for each z. It is a direct check that the conditions (12) and (13) are equivalent to the associativity condition

$$\sum_{l=0}^\infty C^l_{jk} C^p_{lm} = \sum_{l=0}^\infty C^l_{mk} C^p_{lj}, \qquad j,k,m,p = 0,1,2,\dots . \tag{15}$$

for the structure coefficients C^l_{jk}. So, at fixed H^j_k, the span of $\{p_0(z), p_1(z), p_2(z), \dots\}$, i.e. the point of the big cell is an infinite-dimensional associative commutative algebra with the structure constants (14). The subset $W_\varnothing$ is a family of such algebras. □

In a different context the formulae (11)-(15) have appeared first in the paper [51] devoted to the coisotropic deformations of associative algebras. In the rest of the paper we will refer to the conditions (12) and (13) and similar one as the associativity conditions.

Algebra A_{Σ_0} at fixed H^j_k described above is the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[p_1]$ in the basis of Faà di Bruno polynomials [51]. Indeed, it is easy to see that the relations (11) and (14) are equivalent to the following

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2 &= {p_1}^2 - 2H^1_1, \\
p_3 &= {p_1}^3 - 3H^1_1 p_1 - 3H^1_2, \\
p_4 &= {p_1}^4 - 4H^1_1 {p_1}^2 - 4H^1_2 p_1 - 4H^1_3 + 2{H^1_1}^2, \\
p_5 &= {p_1}^5 - 5H^1_1 {p_1}^3 - 5H^1_2 {p_1}^2 - \left(5\,H^1_3 - 5\,{H^1_1}^2\right) p_1 - 5\,H^1_4 + 5\,H^1_1 H^1_2, \\
&\dots \\
p_n &= {p_1}^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} u_{nk} {p_1}^k, \qquad n = 6,7,8,\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where u_{nk} are certain polynomials of H^1_m, $m = 1,2,\dots,n-1$. The polynomials in the r.h.s. of (16) have been called Faà di Bruno polynomials in [51].

The pointwise constraints (11) and (16) for the basis elements $p_i(z)$ have simple geometrical interpretation. Indeed, if one treats $p_1(z), p_2(z), p_3(z), \dots,$ for given H^i_k and variable z, as the local affine coordinates, then the conditions (11) become the constraints on coordinates $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots$ of the form

$$f_{jk} = p_j p_k - \sum_{l=0}^{j+k} C^l_{jk} p_l = 0. \tag{17}$$

These relations define an algebraic variety for the fixed H^j_k. So, under the constraint (17) one has an algebraic variety at each point of $W_\varnothing$. Varying H^j_k, one gets $W_\varnothing$, and thus we have

Proposition 3.3 *The big cell* $\Sigma_\varnothing$ *contains an infinite family* Γ_∞ *of algebraic varieties which are intersections of the quadrics*

$$f_{jk} = p_j p_k - p_{j+k} - \sum_{l=1}^{j} H^k_l p_{j-l} - \sum_{l=1}^{k} H^j_l p_{k-l} = 0, \qquad j,k = 1,2,3,\dots \tag{18}$$

and parameterized by the variables H^j_k *obeying the algebraic equations (12) and (13). This family* Γ_∞ *is the infinite tower of infinite families of rational normal curves (Veronese curves) of all orders.*

Proof In virtue of the equivalence of the set of equations (16) to the set

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 =& p_2 - {p_1}^2 + 2H_1^1 = 0, \\ h_3 =& p_3 - {p_1}^3 + 3H_1^1 p_1 + 3H_2^1 = 0, \\ &\dots \\ h_n =& p_n - {p_1}^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} u_{nk} {p_1}^k = 0, \qquad n = 4,5,6,\dots \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

the variety Γ_∞ has dimension 1 for each fixed H_m^1, $m = 1,2,3,\dots$. The ideal of Γ_∞ is $I(\Gamma_\infty) = \langle h_2, h_3, h_4, \dots \rangle$. For each finite-dimensional subspace with coordinates $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ and fixed H_m^1, $m = 1,2,\dots,n-1$ the corresponding variety Γ_d is a rational normal curve of order d. For instance, Γ_3 is the twisted cubic. Formulae (19) represent the canonical parameterization of rational normal curve (Veronese curve) (see e.g. [5]). Due to the associativity conditions (13) and their consequence $nH_n^i = iH_i^n$ all H_k^i are polynomial functions of H_m^1, $m = 1,2,3,\dots$. For example $H_1^n = nH_n^1$, $H_2^2 = {H_1^1}^2 + 2H_3^1$. Thus, the family of algebraic varieties Γ_d parameterized by $H_1^1, H_2^1, \dots, H_{d-1}^1$, i.e. the family of rational normal curves of the order d, is itself the affine algebraic variety in the $2d-1$–dimensional space. Finally, the variety Γ_∞ is the *ind*-variety since $\Gamma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_{d-1} \subset \Gamma_d \subset \Gamma_{d+1} \subset \dots$. □

We note that within the theory of schemes (see e.g. [2]-[6]) one can define algebraic variety associated with the point of the subset $W_\varnothing$ (at fixed H_k^j) as the Spectrum Spec(R_A) of the ring R_A corresponding to the algebra $A_{\Sigma_\varnothing}$.

In the theory of ideals and algebraic geometry, the so called canonical basis, generated by elements of the form $q_n - a_n$ with some a_n play a distinguished role (see e.g. [5], Lecture 5). For an ideal $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ such basis can be found in the following way. First from the constraint $h_2 = 0$, one has $H_1^1 = \frac{1}{2}\left({p_1}^2 - p_2\right)$. Substituting this expression for H_1^1 into h_3, one gets

$$\tilde{h}_3 = p_3 + \frac{1}{2}{p_1}^3 - \frac{3}{2}p_1 p_2 + 3H_2^1 = 0. \tag{20}$$

From this relation one obtains H_2^1 in terms of p_1, p_2, p_3 and then substitutes into h_4 getting $\tilde{h}_4$. Continuing this procedure, one finds (see also [52])

$$\tilde{h}_n = -n\left(P_n(\tilde{p}) - H_{n-1}^1\right), \qquad n = 2,3,4,\dots \tag{21}$$

where $\tilde{p_k} = -\frac{1}{k}p_k$ and $P_n(\tilde{p})$ are standard Schur polynomials defined by the formula

$$e^{\sum_{n=1}^\infty z^n t_n} = \sum_{m=0}^\infty z^m P_m(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots). \tag{22}$$

Thus one has

Proposition 3.4 *Canonical basis for the ideal $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ is composed by the elements*

$$h_n^* = p_n^* - H_{n-1}^1, \qquad n = 2,3,4,\dots \tag{23}$$

where $p_n^* = P_n\left(-p_1, -\frac{1}{2}p_2, -\frac{1}{3}p_3, \dots\right)$.

This observation reveals that the variables H_k^1, $k = 1,2,3,\dots$ play the distinguished role in the parameterization of the associativity conditions (13).

The proposition 3.4 has an obvious

Corollary 3.5 *In the variables p_n^* and $u_n = H_n^1$, $n = 1,2,3,\dots$ the variety Γ_∞ is given by intersection of the hyperplanes (23).*

As far as the $\overline{\partial}-$operator is concerned one easily shows that for the big cell

$$\text{index } \overline{\partial}_{W_\varnothing} = 0. \tag{24}$$

Rational normal curves in Γ_∞ defined by (19) are regular for all $d = 2,3,4,\dots$. Their projection to lower dimensional subspaces are singular algebraic curves of different types.

For the twisted cubic defined by the first two equations (19) the projection along the axis p_1 to the subspace with coordinates p_2, p_3 is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_{23}^0 = {p_3}^2 - {p_2}^3 + 6H_2^1 p_3 + 3{H_1^1}^2 p_2 + 9{H_2^1}^2 - 2{H_1^1}^3 = 0 \tag{25}$$

or in the standard form

$$\mathcal{F}_{23}^0 = \tilde{p}_3^2 - {p_2}^3 + 3{H_1^1}^2 p_2 - 2{H_1^1}^3 = 0 \tag{26}$$

where $\tilde{p}_3 = p_3 + 3H_2^1$. Since the discriminant of the curve vanishes

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{1728}\left(\left(\frac{{H_1^1}^2}{9}\right)^3 - \left(-\frac{{H_1^1}^3}{27}\right)^2\right) = 0 \tag{27}$$

it has an ordinary double point and zero genus.

Curves (25) belong to the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_{23}^0 = (h_3 + b_3)\, h_3 + \left(-{h_2}^2 + b_4 h_2 + b_2\right) h_2 \tag{28}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} b_4 =& -3\,{p_1}^2 + 6\,H_1^1 = -3p_2, \\ b_3 =& 2\,{p_1}^3 - 6\,H_1^1 p_1 = 2(p_3 + 3H_2^1), \\ b_2 =& -9\,{H_1^1}^2 + 12\,H_1^1 {p_1}^2 - 3\,{p_1}^4 = -3{p_2}^2 + 3{H_1^1}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

and

$$h_3 + b_3 = p_3 + 3H_2^1 - \left({p_1}^2 - 3H_1^1\right) p_1 = p_3 + 3H_2^1 - \left(p_2 - H_1^1\right) p_1 - {h_2}^2 + b_4 h_2 + b_2 = -3{p_2}^2 + 6{H_1^1}^2. \tag{30}$$

We note that in terms of h_2 and h_3 the projected twisted cubic is the plane cubic too.

The projection parallel to the axis p_1 of the fourth order rational curve defined by first three equations (19) into the two dimensional space (p_3, p_4) is represented by the singular trigonal curve

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{34}^0 = {p_3}^4 &- {p_4}^3 - 12\,H_3^1 {p_4}^2 - 12\,H_1^1 H_2^1 p_3 p_4 - \left(6\,(H_2^1)^2 + 4\,(H_1^1)^3\right) {p_3}^2 \\ &- \left(48\,(H_3^1)^2 - 12\,H_1^1 (H_2^1)^2 - 3\,(H_1^1)^4\right) p_4 - \left(48\,H_1^1 H_2^1 H_3^1 - 8\,(H_2^1)^3 - 12\,(H_1^1)^3 H_2^1\right) p_3 \\ &+ 2\,(H_1^1)^6 - 64\,(H_3^1)^3 + 12\,H_3^1 (H_1^1)^4 - 24\,(H_1^1)^3 (H_2^1)^2 - 3\,(H_2^1)^4 + 48\,(H_2^1)^2 H_3^1 H_1^1 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

For the curve (31) one has

$$\mathcal{F}_{34}^0 = \left({h_4}^2 + a_8 h_4 + a_4\right) h_4 + \left(-{h_3}^3 + a_9 {h_3}^2 + a_7 h_4 + a_6 h_3 + a_3\right) h_3 \tag{32}$$

where the coefficients $a_3, a_4, a_6, a_7, a_8, a_9$ are given in the Appendix B.

Finally, let us consider the fifth order rational normal curve defined by first four equations (19). Its projection into the plane (p_2, p_5) is the singular genus zero quintic

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{25}^0 =& {p_5}^2 - {p_2}^5 + 10\,H_2^1 p_2 p_5 - \left(-10\,H_3^1 - 5\,{H_1^1}^2\right) {p_2}^3 - \left(-10\,H_2^1 H_1^1 - 10\,H_4^1\right) p_5 \\ &- \left(-10\,H_1^1 H_3^1 - 25\,{H_2^1}^2\right) {p_2}^2 - \left(25\,{H_3^1}^2 - 50\,H_2^1 H_4^1 + 5\,{H_1^1}^4 + 30\,{H_1^1}^2 H_3^1 - 50\,H_1^1 {H_2^1}^2\right) p_2 \\ &+ 25\,{H_2^1}^2 {H_1^1}^2 + 25\,{H_4^1}^2 - 2\,{H_1^1}^5 + 50\,H_2^1 H_1^1 H_4^1 - 20\,H_3^1 {H_1^1}^3 - 50\,{H_3^1}^2 H_1^1 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

A projection of the fifth order rational curve into the two dimensional space (p_4, p_5) defined by first four equations (19) is the plane singular $(4, 5)$ curve in terminology of [53]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{45}^0 =& p_5^4 - p_4^5 - 20\,H_4^1 {p_5}^3 + \left(20\,H_1^1 H_3^1 + 10\,{H_2^1}^2\right) p_4 {p_5}^2 + \left(20\,H_2^1 {H_1^1}^2 + 20\,H_2^1 H_3^1\right) {p_4}^2 p_5 \\ &+ \left(5\,{H_1^1}^4 + 10\,{H_3^1}^2 + 20\,{H_2^1}^2 H_1^1\right) {p_4}^3 + \left(150\,{H_4^1}^2 - 20\,{H_2^1}^2 H_3^1 - 30\,{H_2^1}^2 {H_1^1}^2 - 20\,H_1^1 {H_3^1}^2 - 4\,{H_1^1}^5\right) {p_5}^2 \\ &+ \left(-20\,H_2^1 {H_1^1}^4 - 60\,{H_1^1}^2 H_2^1 H_3^1 + 200\,H_3^1 H_4^1 H_1^1 - 40\,H_2^1 {H_3^1}^2 + 100\,{H_2^1}^2 H_4^1 - 40\,{H_2^1}^3 H_1^1\right) p_4 p_5 \\ &+ \left(-40\,{H_1^1}^3 {H_2^1}^2 - 20\,H_3^1 {H_1^1}^4 - 20\,{H_3^1}^3 + 5\,{H_2^1}^4 + 50\,{H_3^1}^2 {H_1^1}^2 - 40\,{H_2^1}^2 H_1^1 H_3^1 \right. \\ &\left. +100\,H_2^1 {H_1^1}^2 H_4^1 + 100\,H_4^1 H_2^1 H_3^1\right) {p_4}^2 + c_5 p_5 + c_4 p_4 + c_0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where the coefficients c_5, c_4, c_0 are given in Appendix B.

In the next sections we will see that curves (25), (31) are singular limits of regular algebraic curves from the strata Σ_1 and $\Sigma_{1,2}$.

The system (11)-(14) admits infinitely many simple reductions. The first $p_1 = z$ is obviously trivial. The constraint $p_2 = z^2$, i.e. all $H_n^2 = 0$, due to (13) implies that $H_n^{2m} = 0$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, i.e. $p_{2n} = {p_2}^n = z^{2n}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. It is easy to show that the system (11)-(14) admits the reductions $p_{ln} = {p_l}^n = z^{ln}$, $l = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$.

4 Stratum Σ_0. Family of centered normal rational curves

The first stratum different from $\Sigma_\varnothing$ is associated with $S = \{-1, 1, 2, \dots\}$. In the absence of zero order element the positive order elements of the canonical basis are

$$p_i = z^i + H^i_0 + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{H^i_k}{z^k}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \ . \tag{35}$$

Since $(p_{-1})^2 \notin \langle p_i \rangle_{i=-1,1,2,\dots}$ the element p_{-1} cannot belong to a point in the subset of Σ_0 closed with respect to multiplication. Considering only p_j of positive orders one has

Lemma 4.1 *Laurent series (35) obey the equations*

$$p_j(z) p_k(z) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} C^l_{jk} p_l(z), \qquad j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{36}$$

if and only if the parameters H^j_k, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ obey the constraints

$$H^j_{k+m} + H^k_{j+m} + \sum_{s=0}^{m} H^k_s H^j_{m-s} = H^{j+k}_m + \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} H^k_s H^{j-s}_m + \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} H^j_s H^{k-s}_m . \tag{37}$$

Proof Proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1. The constants C^l_{jk} are given by (14) with $j, k, l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. □

As the consequence of this Lemma one gets

Proposition 4.2 *The stratum Σ_0 with $S = \{-1, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ contains the subset W_0 closed with respect to pointwise multiplication, i.e. $W_0(H) \cdot W_0(H) \subset W_0(H)$. Elements of W_0 are vector spaces with basis $\langle p_i \rangle_i$ with H^i_j obeying the constraints (37). Algebraically the subspace W_0 is the infinite family of infinite-dimensional commutative associative algebras A_{Σ_0} without unity element.*

Proof Proof is analogous to that of Proposition 3.2. □

Algebra A_{Σ_0} with fixed H^i_j is a polynomial algebra since

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 =& {p_1}^2 - 2H^1_0 p_1, \\ p_3 =& {p_1}^3 - 3H^1_0 {p_1}^2 - 3(H^1_1 - {H^1_0}^2) p_1, \\ &\dots \\ p_n =& {p_1}^n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k {p_1}^k, \qquad n = 4, 5, 6, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Geometrically interpretation of the subspace W_0 is similar to that given in Section 3.

Proposition 4.3 *The stratum Σ_0 contains an infinite family of algebraic varieties defined as intersection of the quadrics*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{jk} = p_j + p_k - p_j p_k + \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} H^k_l p_{j-l} + \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} H^j_l p_{k-l} = 0, \qquad j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{39}$$

which is parameterized by H^j_k obeying the equations (37). This family is an infinite tower of normal rational curves of all orders passing through the origin.

Proof The ideal of this family of algebraic varieties is $I_0(\Gamma_\infty) = \langle \tilde{h}_2, \tilde{h}_3, \tilde{h}_4, \dots \rangle$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_2 =& p_2 - {p_1}^2 + 2H^1_0 p_1, \\ \tilde{h}_3 =& p_3 - {p_1}^3 + 3H^1_0 {p_1}^2 + 3(H^1_1 - {H^1_0}^2) p_1, \\ &\dots \\ \tilde{h}_n =& p_n - {p_1}^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_k {p_1}^k, \qquad n = 4, 5, 6, \dots \ . \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In contrast to the big cell all these normal rational curves pass through the origin $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \dots = 0$. □

Since $S_{\widetilde{W}_0} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for the subspace W_0 one has index$(\overline{\partial}_{W_0}) = 0$.

Similar to the big cell all normal rational curves given by (38) are regular and have zero genus while their projections to the lower dimensional subspaces are singular algebraic curves. For instance, the projection of the Veronese curve of the order 3 defined by the first two equations (38) onto the subspace with coordinates (p_2, p_3) is the singular plane cubic

$$\mathcal{F}^{(0)}_{23} = {p_3}^2 - {p_2}^3 - \left(3\,{H^1_0}^2 - 6\,H^1_1\right){p_2}^2 - \left(-6\,H^1_0 H^1_1 + 2\,{H^1_0}^3\right)p_3 - \left(-12\,H^1_1 {H^1_0}^2 + 9\,{H^1_1}^2 + 3\,{H^1_0}^4\right)p_2 = 0. \qquad (41)$$

In the standard form it is

$$\tilde{p}_3^2 - \tilde{p}_2^2 + 3\,{H^1_1}^2 \tilde{p}_2 - 2{H^1_1}^3 = 0 \qquad (42)$$

where $\tilde{p}_3 = p_3 + 3\,H^1_0 H^1_1 - {H^1_0}^3$ and $\tilde{p}_2 = p_2 + {H^1_0}^2 - 2\,H^1_1$. Analogously the projection of Veronese curves to subspaces (p_2, p_5), (p_3, p_4), (p_4, p_5) are singular algebraic curves of genus zero.

Comparing the formulas of this and previous sections, one observes that they are pretty close to each other and the algebraic curves in the big cell an stratum Σ_0 are essentially of the same type. Moreover one can easily see that they are transformed to each other by the simple change of "coordinates"

$$p_i^{\text{big cell}} = p_i^{\Sigma_0} - H^i_0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \qquad (43)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H^2_0 =& 2\,H^1_1 - {H^1_0}^2, \\ H^3_0 =& -3\,H^1_0 H^1_1 + {H^1_0}^3 + 3\,H^1_2, \\ H^4_0 =& -2\,{H^1_1}^2 + 4\,H^1_1 {H^1_0}^2 - {H^1_0}^4 - 4\,H^1_2 H^1_0 + 4\,H^1_3, \\ & \dots . \end{aligned} \qquad (44)$$

So all the results for the stratum Σ_0 are easily obtainable from those for the big cell. Formally one can consider these two cases as two special reductions of a more general family of normal rational curves defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} p_2 =& {p_1}^2 + u_{21} p_1 + u_{20}, \\ p_3 =& {p_1}^3 + u_{32} {p_1}^2 + u_{31} p_1 + u_{30}, \\ & \dots \\ p_n =& {p_1}^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_{nk} {p_1}^k, \qquad n = 4, 5, 6, \dots \end{aligned} \qquad (45)$$

where u_{nm} are parameters. Such class of normal rational curves is invariant under the shifts

$$p_n \to p_n + \alpha_n, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \qquad (46)$$

where α_n are arbitrary parameters. This invariance allows us to fix the infinite (countable) set of parameters u_{nm}. The gauge in which $u_{n\ n-1} = 0$ corresponds to normal rational curves from the big cell. In the gauge $u_{n0} = 0$ one has the normal rational curves from Σ_0.

Similar situation takes place for other strata for which $0 \notin S$. By this reason in the rest of the paper we will consider only strata for which $0 \in S$.

5 Stratum Σ_1. Elliptic curve and its coordinate ring.

For the stratum Σ_1 one has $S = \{-1, 0, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and the element of the first order $z + O(z^{-1})$ is absent in the basis. Hence, positive order elements of the canonical basis in Σ_1 have the form

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(z) =& 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^0_k}{z^k}, \\ p_i(z) =& z^i + H^i_{-1} z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^i_k}{z^k}, \qquad i = 2, 3, 4, \dots . \end{aligned} \qquad (47)$$

Similar to stratum Σ_0 the element p_{-1} cannot belong to a point in the subset of Σ_1 closed with respect to multiplication.

Lemma 5.1 *Laurent series (47) obey the equations*

$$p_i(z) p_j(z) = \sum_{l=0,2,3,\dots} C^l_{ij} p_l(z), \qquad i, j = 0, 2, 3, 4, \dots \qquad (48)$$

if and only if the parameters H^i_k satisfy

$$H^0_k = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{49}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &H^i_{j+l} + H^j_{i+l} + H^j_{-1} H^i_{l+1} + H^i_{-1} H^j_{l+1} + \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} H^j_n H^i_{l-n} = \\ &H^{i+j}_l + H^j_{-1} H^{i+1}_l + H^i_{-1} H^{j+1}_l + \sum_{n=2}^{i-1} H^j_{i-n} H^n_l + \sum_{n=2}^{j-1} H^i_{j-n} H^n_l + H^i_{-1} H^j_{-1} H^2_l + \\ &(H^i_j + H^j_i + H^i_{-1} H^j_1 + H^i_1 H^j_{-1}) \delta^l_0, \qquad j, k = 2, 3, 4, \dots, \ l = -1, 1, 2, 3, \dots. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Proof Proof is similar to the case of $\Sigma_\varnothing$. Considering positive powers of z in both sides of (48), one gets

$$C^l_{ij} = \delta^l_{i+j} + H^j_{-1} \delta^l_{i+1} + H^i_{-1} \delta^l_{j+1} + H^j_{i-l} + H^i_{j-l} + H^i_{-1} H^j_{-1} \delta^l_2 + \left(H^i_j + H^j_i + H^i_{-1} H^j_1 + H^i_1 H^j_{-1} \right) \delta^l_0. \tag{51}$$

Comparison of negatives powers gives formula (50). □

As a consequence of the Lemma 5.1 one has

Proposition 5.2 *The stratum Σ_1 contains the subset W_1 closed with respect to pointwise multiplication $W_1(H) \cdot W_1(H) \subset W_1(H)$. Elements of W_1 are vector spaces with basis $\langle p_i \rangle_i$ with H^i_k satisfying the conditions (49) and (50). Moreover Codim(W_1) =card$(\mathbb{N} - S_{W_1}) = 1$.*

The subset W_1 is the infinite family of infinite-dimensional commutative associative algebras A_{Σ_1} with the basis $(1, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots)$ and corresponding structure constants C^l_{ij} given by (51).

An analysis of the multiplication table (48), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} {p_2}^2 &= p_4 + 2H^2_{-1} p_3 + (H^2_{-1})^2 p_2 + 2H^2_2 + 2H^2_1 H^2_{-1}, && (52) \\ p_2 p_3 &= p_5 + H^2_{-1} p_4 + H^3_{-1} p_3 + \left(H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1} + H^2_1 \right) p_2 + H^2_{-1} H^3_1 + H^2_1 H^3_{-1} + H^2_3 + H^3_2, && (53) \\ p_2 p_4 &= p_6 + H^2_{-1} p_5 + \left(H^2_1 + H^4_{-1} \right) p_3 + \left(H^2_{-1} H^4_{-1} + H^2_2 \right) p_2 + H^2_4 + H^2_{-1} H^4_1 && (54) \\ &\quad + H^2_1 H^4_{-1} + H^4_2, \\ {p_3}^2 &= p_6 + 2\, H^3_{-1} p_4 + \left({H^3_{-1}}^2 + 2\, H^3_1 \right) p_2 + 2\, H^3_3 + 2\, H^3_{-1} H^3_1, && (55) \\ p_2 p_5 &= p_7 + H^2_{-1} p_6 + H^2_1 p_4 + \left(H^2_2 + H^5_{-1} \right) p_3 + \left(H^2_3 + H^2_{-1} H^5_{-1} \right) p_2 + H^2_{-1} H^5_1 + H^5_2 && (56) \\ &\quad + H^2_5 + H^2_1 H^5_{-1}, \\ p_3 p_4 &= p_7 + H^3_{-1} p_5 + H^4_{-1} p_4 + H^3_1 p_3 + \left(H^3_2 + H^3_{-1} H^4_{-1} + H^4_1 \right) p_2 + H^4_3 + H^3_4 && (57) \\ &\quad + H^3_{-1} H^4_1 + H^3_1 H^4_{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and so on shows that the algebra A_{Σ_1} at fixed H^j_k is the polynomial algebra generated by $p_0 = 1, p_2, p_3$. However the formulae (54) and (55) immediately indicate that they are not free. Indeed, subtracting (55) from (54), one first eliminates p_6 then, using (52) and (53), gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_6 =& {p_3}^2 - p_2 p_4 + H^2_{-1} p_5 + 2H^3_{-1} p_4 + (H^2_1 + H^4_{-1}) p_3 + (-{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 2\, H^3_1 + H^2_{-1} H^4_{-1} + H^2_2) p_2 \\ & - 2\, H^3_{-1} p_4 - 2\, H^3_3 - 2\, H^3_{-1} H^3_1 + H^2_{-1} p_5 + H^2_4 + H^2_{-1} H^4_1 + H^2_1 H^4_{-1} + H^4_2 \\ =& {p_3}^2 - {p_2}^3 + 3\, H^2_{-1} p_3\, p_2 - 2\, H^3_{-1} {p_2}^2 + \left({H^2_{-1}}^3 + 3\, H^2_1 + H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1} \right) p_3 \\ & - \left({H^3_{-1}}^2 + 2\, H^3_1 - 3\, H^2_{-1} H^2_1 - 3\, H^2_2 + H^3_{-1} {H^2_{-1}}^2 \right) p_2 \\ & + 3\, H^2_4 + 3\, H^2_3 H^2_{-1} + 3\, H^2_2 {H^2_{-1}}^2 + 3\, H^{2\,2}_1 - 2\, H^3_{-1} H^3_1 - 2\, H^3_3 - 3\, H^2_{-1} H^3_2 - 3\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_1 \\ & + H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1} H^2_1 + 4\, H^2_2 H^3_{-1} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

This constraint, due to (48), leads to the following constraints on H^2_i and H^3_i

$$\begin{aligned}
H^3_2 =& \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_3 - \frac{1}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^3_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, H^3_{-1} H^2_1,\\
H^3_4 =& \frac{1}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^2_2 H^3_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\, H^3_{-1} H^2_3 + \frac{1}{4}\, {H^2_{-1}}^3 H^3_1 - \frac{1}{2}\, H^3_1 H^2_1 + \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_4 H^2_{-1} + \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_2 H^2_1 + \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_5\\
&- \frac{3}{4}\, H^2_3 {H^2_{-1}}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^3_3 - \frac{1}{4}\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-1} H^2_1,\\
H^3_5 =& \frac{3}{4}\, H^2_1 H^2_3 - \frac{3}{4}\, H^2_{-1} H^2_5 + \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_6 - \frac{3}{4}\, H^2_4 {H^2_{-1}}^2 + 2\, H^3_{-1} H^2_4 + \frac{1}{4}\, H^3_{-1} {H^2_1}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^2_2 - H^3_{-1} H^3_3\\
&- \frac{1}{4}\, H^2_{-1} H^2_1 {H^3_{-1}}^2 - \frac{1}{4}\, H^3_{-1} H^2_2 {H^2_{-1}}^2 - H^3_{-1} H^2_{-1} H^2_3 + \frac{1}{4}\, H^3_{-1} {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_1 - \frac{3}{4}\, H^2_1 H^2_{-1} H^2_2\\
&+ \frac{1}{8}\, {H^2_{-1}}^3 H^3_{-1} H^2_1 + \frac{3}{4}\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_3 - \frac{1}{2}\, {H^3_1}^2 - \frac{1}{8}\, {H^2_{-1}}^4 H^3_1 + \frac{3}{8}\, H^2_3 {H^2_{-1}}^3 + H^2_2 H^3_1,\\
&\dots
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

It is not difficult to see that the conditions (59) form a subset of the system (50). The coefficient H^3_2 appear in the curve (58) itself which, after the substitution, becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^1_{23} =& {p_3}^2 - {p_2}^3 + 3\, H^2_{-1} p_3\, p_2 - 2\, H^3_{-1} {p_2}^2 + \left({H^2_{-1}}^3 + 3\, H^2_1 + H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1}\right) p_3\\
&- \left({H^3_{-1}}^2 + 2\, H^3_1 - 3\, H^2_{-1} H^2_1 - 3\, H^2_2 + H^3_{-1} {H^2_{-1}}^2\right) p_2\\
&- 2\, H^3_3 - 2\, H^3_{-1} H^3_1 + 3\, H^2_4 + 3\, H^2_2 {H^2_{-1}}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^2_3 + 3\, {H^2_1}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_1\\
&- \frac{1}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^2_1 H^3_{-1} + 4\, H^3_{-1} H^2_2 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The constraint (58) implies also that any element $p_n \in A_{\Sigma_1}$ has the form

$$p_n = \alpha_n(p_2) + \beta_n(p_2) p_3, \qquad n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots \tag{61}$$

where α_n and β_n are certain polynomials of orders $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{n-3}{2}\right]$, respectively.

The system of equations (50) gives rise to infinitely many other constraints between p_2 and p_3. For instance, subtracting the formulae (57) and (56), expressing p_4, p_5, p_6 via p_2 and p_3, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_7 =& p_2 p_5 - p_3 p_4 + \dots\\
=& H^2_{-1} {p_3}^2 - H^2_{-1} {p_2}^3 - 3\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 p_2 p_3 + 2\, H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1} {p_2}^2 + (-3\, H^2_1 H^2_{-1} - {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-1} - {H^2_{-1}}^4) p_3\\
&+ (2\, H^2_{-1} H^3_1 - 3\, H^2_{-1} H^2_2 - 3\, H^2_1 {H^2_{-1}}^2 + {H^2_{-1}}^3 H^3_{-1} + H^2_{-1} {H^3_{-1}}^2) p_2 + 2\, H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1} H^3_1\\
&- 3\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^2_3 + 3\, {H^2_{-1}}^3 H^3_1 + 3\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_2 - 3\, H^2_2 {H^2_{-1}}^3 - {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-1} H^2_1 - 3\, H^2_4 H^2_{-1}\\
&+ 2\, H^2_{-1} H^3_3 - 3\, H^2_{-1} {H^2_1}^2 - 4\, H^2_{-1} H^2_2 H^3_{-1} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{C}_7 = H^2_{-1} \mathcal{F}^1_{23}, \tag{63}$$

i.e. the constraint (62) is satisfied due to the constraint (58).
One observes that other simple constraints obtained in such a way have similar properties

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_8 =& {p_4}^2 - p_3 p_5 + \dots = {p_2}^4 - {p_3}^2 p_2 + \dots = -p_2 \mathcal{F}^1_{23},\\
\mathcal{C}_9 =& p_3 p_6 - p_4 p_5 + \dots = {p_3}^3 - {p_2}^3 p_3 + \dots = \left(p_3 - 3\, H^2_{-1} p_2 - 3\, H^2_1 - {H^2_{-1}}^3 - H^2_{-1} H^3_{-1}\right) \mathcal{F}^1_{23}.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

For other examples see Appendix C.

In general one has the following

Lemma 5.3 *For any constraint*

$$f(p_2, p_3) = 0 \tag{65}$$

arising from the system (50) the polynomial $f(p_2, p_3)$ is in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{F}^1_{23}$.

Proof Let us assume that $f(p_2, p_3)$ is not in the ideal generated by $\mathcal{F}^1_{23}$, i.e.

$$f(p_2, p_3) = q(p_2, p_3)\mathcal{F}^1_{23} + R(p_2, p_3) \tag{66}$$

where $q(p_2, p_3)$ is certain polynomial and the rest $R(p_2, p_3)$ is not identically zero. Since $R(p_2, p_3) = f(p_2, p_3)|_{\mathcal{F}^1_{23}=0}$ the rest $R(p_2, p_3)$ has the form

$$R(p_2, p_3) = A(p_2) + B(p_2) p_3 \tag{67}$$

where A and B are certain polynomials. So our assumption, due to (65,66,67), is equivalent to the existence of nonzero A and B such that

$$A(p_2) + B(p_2)p_3 = 0. \tag{68}$$

The point is that such polynomials A and B do not exist. Indeed the l.h.s. of (68), is a polynomial in p_2 and p_3 of certain order and, hence, can be written as $\sum_{k=0,2,3,\dots}^{n} \gamma_k p_k$. Since $p_0, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots$ are elements of a basis in Σ_1 then the condition (68) is satisfied iff all $\gamma_k = 0$. One arrives to the same conclusion considering the representation of p_2 and p_3 as Laurent series (47). □

This lemma leads to

Proposition 5.4 *Algebra* A_{Σ_1} *at fixed* H^j_k *is equivalent to the algebra* $\mathbb{C}[p_2, p_3]/\mathcal{F}^1_{23}$.

Similar to $\Sigma_\varnothing$ one can treat $p_2(z), p_3(z), \dots$ for given H^i_k and variable z as the local coordinates in Σ_1. In such interpretation the condition (48) becomes constraints on the coordinates and one has

Proposition 5.5 *The stratum* Σ_1 *contains an infinite family* Γ^1_∞ *of infinite dimensional varieties which are intersection of the quadrics*

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)}_{ij} =& p_i p_j - p_{i+j} - H^j_{-1} p_{i+1} - H^i_{-1} p_{j+1} - \sum_{l=2}^{i-1} H^j_{i-l} p_l - \sum_{l=2}^{j-1} H^i_{j-l} p_l \\ & - H^i_{-1} H^j_{-1} p_2 - \left(H^i_j + H^j_i + H^i_{-1} H^j_1 + H^i_1 H^j_{-1} \right) = 0, \quad i, j = 2, 3, 4, \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

and parameterized by the variables H^j_k $(j = 2, 3, \dots)$ *obeying the algebraic equations (50). This family* Γ^1_∞ *is the infinite tower of algebraic curves of genus* 1 *with the elliptic curve in the base.*

Proof As it was shown above the relations (69) are equivalent to the following

$$\mathcal{F}^1_{23} = 0, \qquad h^{(1)}_n = p_n - \alpha_n(p_2) - \beta_n(p_2) p_3 = 0, \qquad n = 4, 5, 6, \dots . \tag{70}$$

So, in the subspace (p_2, p_3) one has, for given H^i_j an elliptic curve which generically has genus 1. In the three dimensional space p_2, p_3, p_4 one has a curve which is the intersection on the cylindrical surface generated by the elliptic curve and the quadric

$$h^{(1)}_4 = p_4 - {p_2}^2 + 2\, H^2_{-1} p_3 + {H^2_{-1}}^2 p_2 + 2\, H^2_{-1} H^2_1 + 2\, H^2_2. \tag{71}$$

In the d-dimensional subspace one has the curve with the ideal

$$I(\Gamma^1_d) = \langle \mathcal{F}^1_{23}, h^{(1)}_4, h^{(1)}_5, \dots, h^{(1)}_{d+1} \rangle. \tag{72}$$

□

Moduli g_2, g_3 (see e.g. [54, 55]) of the elliptic curves (58) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 =& \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_1 H^2_{-1} - \frac{1}{3}\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 - 3\, H^2_2 - \frac{1}{2}\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-1} + 2\, H^3_1 - \frac{3}{16}\, {H^2_{-1}}^4, \\ g_3 =& 2\, H^3_3 - 3\, H^2_4 - \frac{3}{4}\, {H^2_1}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\, H^3_{-1} H^3_1 + \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^2_3 + H^2_{-1} H^2_1 H^3_{-1} - 2\, H^3_{-1} H^2_2 - \frac{3}{4}\, H^2_2 {H^2_{-1}}^2 \\ & - \frac{2}{27}\, {H^3_{-1}}^3 - \frac{1}{8}\, {H^2_{-1}}^4 H^3_{-1} - \frac{1}{6}\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 {H^3_{-1}}^2 + \frac{3}{8}\, {H^2_{-1}}^3 H^2_1 - \frac{1}{32}\, {H^2_{-1}}^6 \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

and the J-invariant is $J = 1728 \frac{4{g_2}^3}{\Delta}$ where the discriminant $\Delta = -16\,(4{g_2}^3 + 27\, {g_3}^2)$ is given in the Appendix C.

It follows from equations (50) that all H^i_j can be expressed (polynomially) in terms of H^2_i $(i = -1, 1, 2, 3, \dots)$, H^3_{-1}, H^3_1, and H^3_3. For instance

$$H^3_2 = \frac{3}{2}\, H^2_3 - \frac{1}{2}\, H^2_{-1} H^3_1 + \frac{1}{2}\, H^3_{-1} H^2_1. \tag{74}$$

Thus the family of curves Γ^1_∞ is parameterized by $H^2_{-1}, H^2_1, H^2_2, \dots, H^3_{-1}, H^3_1$, and H^3_3.

We emphasize that the elliptic curve $\mathcal{F}^1_{23}$ and its coordinate ring correspond to a point in W_1. Hence, in the stratum Σ_1 one may refer to such a point as an *elliptic point* and W_1 as an *elliptic subset* of Σ_1.

Proposition 5.6 *Index*$(\overline{\partial}_{W_1}) = -1$.

Proof Since $S_{W_1} = \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$, then $S_{\widetilde{W}_1} = \{-1, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Hence, Index$(\overline{\partial}_{W_1})$ =card$(\{\varnothing\})$-card$(\{-1\})$=-1. □

Coordinate ring of the elliptic curve (60) contains various higher order singular algebraic curves. One of the examples is the singular hyperelliptic curve

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^1_{25} =& {p_5}^2 - {p_2}^5 + 5\, H^2_{-1} {p_2}^2 p_5 + \left(5\, H^2_1 + 5\, {H^2_{-1}}^3 \right) p_2 p_5 + \Big(-2\, {H^2_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-1} + 11\, H^2_{-1} H^2_1 + 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 \\ & -2\, {H^2_{-1}}^4 + 3\, H^2_2 - 2\, H^3_1 \Big) {p_2}^3 + \Big(-H^2_{-1} {H^3_{-1}}^2 + {H^2_{-1}}^3 H^3_{-1} + 2\, H^2_1 {H^2_{-1}}^2 - 4\, H^2_2 H^2_{-1} \\ & + H^2_{-1} H^3_1 + 2\, {H^2_{-1}}^5 + 5\, H^2_3 \Big) p_5 + D_4\, p_4 + D_2\, p_2 + D_0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

whose coefficients are given in Appendix C. This plane quintic has genus 1 and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^1_{25} = &\Big(p_5 + 4\,H^2_{-1}{p_2}^2 + \Big(-H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1} + p_3 + 6\,{H^2_{-1}}^3 + 4\,H^2_1\Big)\,p_2 + \frac{1}{2}\,H^2_{-1}H^3_1 - H^2_{-1}{H^3_{-1}}^2 \\ &+{H^2_{-1}}^3H^3_{-1} + 4\,H^2_1{H^2_{-1}}^2 - 2\,H^2_2H^2_{-1} + \frac{5}{2}\,H2_3 - p_3H^3_{-1} - \frac{3}{2}\,H^3_{-1}H^2_1 + 2\,p_3{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^5\Big)\,h^{(1)}_5 \\ &+ \Big({p_2}^2 + \Big(-2\,H^3_{-1} + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2\Big)\,p_2 - 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_{-1} + {H^3_{-1}}^2 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^4\Big)\,\mathcal{F}^1_{23}.\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Different type of curve contained in the family Γ^1_∞ is given ,for instance, by the trigonal curve

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{F}^1_{34} = \\ &{p_4}^3 - {p_3}^4 + 4\,H^3_{-1}p_3{p_4}^2 + \Big(3\,{H^2_{-1}}^3 + 6\,H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1} - 6\,H^2_1\Big)\,{p_3}^3 + \Big(-2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 4\,H^3_1\Big)\,{p_4}^2 \\ &+ \Big(-6\,H^2_{-1}H^2_2 + 3\,H^2_1{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 4\,H^2_{-1}H^3_1 + 12\,H^3_{-1}H^2_1 - 5\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_{-1}}^3 - 10\,H^2_{-1}{H^3_{-1}}^2\Big)\,p_3p_4 \\ &+ \Big(-3\,{H^2_{-1}}^6 - 12\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_{-1} + 12\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1 - 12\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 27\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_{-1} - 15\,{H^2_1}^2 \\ &+3\,H^2_3H^2_{-1} - 6\,H^2_4 - 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_1 + 3\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 4\,H^3_{-1}H^3_1 + 4\,H^3_3\Big)\,{p_3}^2 \\ &+ A_4p_4\, + A_3p_3\, + A_0 = 0\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

where A_4, A_3 and A_0 are given in the Appendix C. This plane curve has genus 1. For this curve one has

$$\mathcal{F}^1_{34} = \left({p_4}^2 + ap_4p_3 + b{p_3}^2 + cp_4 + dp_3 + f\right)h^{(1)}_4 + \left(-{p_3}^2 + hp_3 + j\right)\mathcal{F}^1_{23} \tag{78}$$

where the coefficients a, b, c, d, f, h, j are given in Appendix C.

Finally a projection of the curve in the four dimensional space (p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5) defined by the equation

$$\mathcal{F}^1_{23} = 0, \qquad h^{(1)}_4 = 0, \qquad h^{(1)}_5 = 0 \tag{79}$$

to the subspace (p_4, p_5) is given by (4,5) curve

$$\mathcal{F}^1_{45} = {p_5}^4 - {p_4}^5 + \cdots = 0 \tag{80}$$

where the coefficients are too long for writing them here. They can be easily computed by an algebraic manipulator. The curve (80) is singular and has genus one.

6 Stratum Σ_1. Weierstrass function reduction

Here we will study the reduction of the system (48)-(50) associated with the celebrated Weierstrass $\wp$-function given by the series (see e.g. [54])

$$\wp(u) = \frac{1}{u^2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n u^{2n-2} \tag{81}$$

where the coefficients c_n are defined by the recurrence relation

$$c_n = \frac{1}{(n-3)(2n+1)}\sum_{k=2}^{n-2} c_k c_{n-k}, \qquad n = 4, 5, 6, \dots\ . \tag{82}$$

The Weierstrass function $\wp(u)$ and its derivative $\wp'(u)$ obey the equation

$$\wp'(u)^2 = 4\wp(u)^3 - g_2\wp(u) - g_3. \tag{83}$$

where $g_2 = 20c_2$ and $g_3 = 28c_3$. Equation (83) clearly indicates that equation (60) $\mathcal{F}^1_{23} = 0$ should admit the reduction for which p_2 and p_3 are connected with $\wp(u)$ and $\wp'(u)$, respectively. It is indeed the case and for such a reduction

$$p_2(z) = \wp(1/z) \qquad \text{and} \qquad p_3(z) = -\frac{1}{2}\wp'(u)|_{u=z^{-1}}, \tag{84}$$

i.e. $H^2_{2n} = c_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $H^2_{2m+1} = 0$, $m = -1, 0, 1, \dots$, $H^3_k = -\frac{k}{2}H^2_k$, $k = -1, 0, 1, \dots$. Then it is a straightforward check that the whole system (48)-(50) admits the reduction

$$\begin{aligned}p_{n+2} &= -\frac{1}{(n+1)!}\partial^n_u\wp(u)|_{u=z^{-1}}, \qquad n \textit{ odd} \\ p_{n+2} &= \frac{1}{(n+1)!}\partial^n_u\wp(u)|_{u=z^{-1}} - \frac{1}{n+1}c_{n/2+1}, \qquad n \textit{ even.}\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

Under this reduction equations (52)-(57) take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_2p_2 =& p_4 + \frac{1}{10}g_2,\\
p_2p_3 =& p_5,\\
p_3p_3 =& p_6 - \frac{g_2}{10}p_2 - \frac{g_3}{7},\\
p_2p_4 =& p_6 + \frac{g_2}{20}p_2 + \frac{3g_3}{28},\\
\dots&.
\end{aligned}
\tag{86}
$$

Due to (85) they are nothing else than the classical equations (see e.g. [54]) for the Weierstrass function $\wp(u)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wp'' =& 6\wp^2 - \frac{g_2}{2},\\
\wp''' =& 12\wp\wp',\\
\wp'''' =& 30\wp'^2 + 12g_2\wp + 18g_3,\\
\wp'''' =& 20\wp''\wp - 8g_2\wp - 12g_3,\\
\dots & .
\end{aligned}
\tag{87}
$$

In particular, the last two equations (87) and the first one give equation (83). One can observe also that the formula (61) under this reduction becomes the well known expression (see e.g. [54])

$$
\alpha_n(\wp(u)) + \beta_n(\wp(u))\wp'(u)
\tag{88}
$$

for an entire elliptic function.

Pure algebraic characterization of the reduction (85) is an interesting open problem.

For the Weierstrass reduction

$$
p_2 = \wp(u)|_{u=1/z}, \qquad p_5 = -\frac{1}{24}\wp'''(u)|_{u=1/z}
\tag{89}
$$

the hyperelliptic curve (75) has the form

$$
\wp'''(u)^2 - 576\wp(u)^5 + 144g_2\wp(u)^3 + 144g_3\wp(u)^2 = 0, \qquad u = 1/z
\tag{90}
$$

which is a consequence of the formula (83). It has, obviously, genus one. The formula (89) reproduces the well known parametrization of the fifth order hyperelliptic curve of genus one in terms of the Weierstrass-$\wp$ function.

Weierstrass reduction (85) of the trigonal curve (77) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_3 =& -\frac{1}{2}\wp'(u)\Big|_{u=z^{-1}},\\
p_4 =& \frac{1}{6}\wp''(u)\Big|_{u=z^{-1}} - \frac{g_2}{60} = \wp^2(u)\Big|_{u=z^{-1}} + \frac{g_2}{10}
\end{aligned}
\tag{91}
$$

while the curve (77) takes the form

$$
\left(\wp'(u)^2 + g_3\right)^2 = \frac{2}{27}\left(\wp''(u) - g_2\right)^2\left(\wp''(u) + \frac{g_2}{2}\right).
\tag{92}
$$

Finally, for the Weierstrass reduction, i.e. for

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_4 =& \frac{1}{6}\wp''(u)|_{u=z^{-1}} - \frac{g_2}{10},\\
p_5 =& -\frac{1}{24}\wp'''(u)|_{u=z^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{93}
$$

the curve (80) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&3(\wp'''(u))^4 - 128(\wp''(u))^5 + 64\,g_2(\wp''(u))^4 + 144\,g_3(\wp'''(u))^2\wp''(u) + 160\,{g_2}^2(\wp''(u))^3\\
&+ 72\,g_2g_3(\wp'''(u))^2 + (-16\,{g_2}^3 + 1728\,{g_3}^2)(\wp''(u))^2 + (-64\,{g_2}^4 + 1728\,{g_3}^2g_2)\wp''(u)\\
&+ 432\,{g_3}^2{g_2}^2 - 16\,{g_2}^5 = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{94}
$$

It is a straightforward check that this equation is satisfied due to equations (87) and (77). The formula (93) gives us a parameterization of the genus one $(4,5)$ curve (80) in terms of Weierstrass $\wp$-function.

In a similar manner one can get Weierstrass function parameterization of $(n, n+1)$ curves $n = 5, 6, 7, \dots$ of genus one.

7 Stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$. Trigonal curve of genus two

Now we will consider the stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$ which corresponds to the set $S = (-2, -1, 0, 3, 4, \dots)$. First and second order elements are absent and, hence, the positive order elements of the canonical basis are

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_k^0}{z^k}, \\ p_i &= z^i + H_{-2}^i z^2 + H_{-1}^i z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_k^i}{z^k}, \qquad i = 3, 4, 5, \dots\ . \end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

In this case $(p_{-2})^2, (p_{-1})^2 \notin \langle p_i \rangle_{i=-2,-1,2,3,\dots}$, and, hence, only the elements of the positive order can be involved in the subset closed with respect to pointwise multiplication.

Lemma 7.1 *Laurent series (95) obey the equations*

$$p_n(z) p_m(z) = \sum_{l=0,3,4,\dots} C_{nm}^l p_l(z), \qquad n, m = 0, 3, 4, 5, \dots \tag{96}$$

if and only if

$$H_k^0 = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{97}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{n+l}^m + H_{m+l}^n + \sum_{i=1}^{2} (H_{-i}^n H_{l+i}^m + H_{-i}^m H_{l+i}^n) + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (H_i^n H_{l-i}^m + H_i^m H_{l-i}^n) \\ &= H_l^{n+m} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+2} H_{m-i}^n H_l^i + \sum_{i=3}^{m-1} H_{m-i}^n H_l^i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+2} H_{n-i}^m H_l^i + \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} H_{n-i}^m H_l^i + H_m^n + H_n^m \\ &+ H_{-2}^n H_{-2}^m H_l^4 + (H_{-1}^n H_{-2}^m + H_{-2}^n H_{-1}^m) H_l^3 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} (H_{-i}^n H_i^m + H_{-i}^m H_i^n) \delta_l^0, \qquad n, m = 3, 4, 5, \dots\ . \end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

The constants C_{ij}^l have the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_{nm}^l =& \delta_{n+m}^l + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+2} H_{m-i}^n \delta_i^l + \sum_{i=3}^{m-1} H_{m-i}^n \delta_i^l + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+2} H_{n-i}^m \delta_i^l + \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} H_{n-i}^m \delta_i^l + H_{-2}^n H_{-2}^m \delta_4^l \\ &+ (H_{-1}^n H_{-2}^m + H_{-2}^n H_{-1}^m) \delta_3^l + (H_m^n + H_n^m) \delta_0^l + \sum_{i=1}^{2} (H_{-i}^n H_i^m + H_{-i}^m H_i^n) \delta_0^l, \qquad n, m = 3, 4, 5, \dots\ . \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

An analog of Proposition 5.2 is

Proposition 7.2 *The stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$ contains the subset $W_{1,2}$ of codimension 2 closed with respect to the pointwise multiplication $W_{1,2}(H) \cdot W_{1,2}(H) \subset W_{1,2}(H)$. Elements of $W_{1,2}$ are vector spaces with basis $\langle p_i \rangle_i$ with H_k^i obeying the condition (98). This subset is the infinite family of infinite-dimensional associative algebras $A_{\Sigma_{1,2}}$ with the basis $(1, p_3, p_4, p_5, \dots)$ and the structure constants given by (99).*

Analysis of the equations (96), i.e. the equations

$$\begin{aligned} {p_3}^2 =& p_6 + 2\, H_{-2}^3 p_5 + \left(2\, H_{-1}^3 + {H_{-2}^3}^2 \right) p_4 + 2\, H_{-1}^3 H_{-2}^3 p_3 + 2\, H_2^3 H_{-2}^3 + 2\, H_1^3 H_{-1}^3 + 2\, H_3^3, \\ p_3 p_4 =& p_7 + H_{-2}^3 p_6 + \left(H_{-2}^4 + H_{-1}^3 \right) p_5 + \left(H_{-2}^3 H_{-2}^4 + H_{-1}^4 \right) p_4 + \left(H_1^3 + H_{-1}^3 H_{-2}^4 + H_{-2}^3 H_{-1}^4 \right) p_3 \\ &+ H_2^3 H_{-2}^4 + H_1^3 H_{-1}^4 + H_{-1}^3 H_1^4 + H_{-2}^3 H_2^4 + H_4^3 + H_3^4, \\ &\dots \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

shows that $A_{\Sigma_{1,2}}$ for fixed H_k^j is the polynomial algebra generate by four elements $1, p_3, p_4, p_5$.

Analogously to A_{Σ_1} these generators are not free and obey certain constraints. Considering equations (96) with $i+j=8$, $i+j=9$, and $i+j=10$, one gets the following constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_8 =& p_3p_5 - {p_4}^2 - H^3_{-2}p_3p_4 - \left(H^3_{-1} - 2\,H^4_{-2} - {H^3_{-2}}^2\right){p_3}^2 \\
&- \left(H^5_{-2} - 2\,H^4_{-1} + 3\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-2} - 3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1} + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3\right)p_5 \\
&- \Big(H^3_{-2}H^5{}_{-2} + H^5_{-1} + H^3_1 - {H^4_{-2}}^2 + {H^3_{-2}}^2H^4_{-2} - H^3_{-2}H^4_{-1} - 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2 + H^3_{-1}{H^3_{-2}}^2 \\
&+4\,H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1} + {H^3_{-2}}^4\Big)p_4 - \Big(H^3_{-1}H^5_{-2} + H^3_{-2}H^5_{-1} + H^3_2 - 2\,H^4_1 - 2\,H^4_{-1}H^4_{-2} - H^3_{-2}H^3_1 \\
&+3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^4{}_{-2} - {H^3_{-2}}^2H^4_{-1} - 2\,H^3_{-2}{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_{-1}\Big)p_3 \\
&+ 2\,H^3_{-1}H^3_{-2}H^3_2 + H^3_{-2}H^3_1H^4_{-1} + H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^4_1 - 3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_2H^4_{-2} - H^3_5 - H^3_{-1}H^5_1 - H^3_2H^5_{-2} \\
&- H^3_1H^5_{-1} + {H^3_{-2}}^2H^4_2 + H^3_{-2}H^3_4 + H^3_{-2}H^4_3 - H^5_3 + 2\,H^4_4 - H^3_{-2}H^5{}_2 - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^3_3 + 2\,H^4_2H^4_{-2} \\
&+ 2\,H^4_1H^4_{-1} + 2\,H^3_1{H^3{}_{-1}}^2 + 2\,H^3_3H^3_{-1} - 4\,H^4_{-2}H^3_3 - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_2 - 4\,H^4_{-2}H^3_1H^3_{-1} - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^3_1H^3_{-1} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_9 =& p_3p_6 - p_4p_5 + \dots \\
=& {p_3}^3 - p_4\,p_5 - 3\,H^3_{-2}p_3\,p_5 - \left(3\,H^3_{-1} - H^4_{-2}\right)p_3\,p_4 - \left({H^3_{-2}}^3 - H^5_{-2} - H^4_{-1} + H^3{}_{-2}H^4_{-2}\right){p_3}^2 \\
&- \Big(3\,H^3_1 + 3\,H^3_{-1}{H^3_{-2}}^2 - H^5_{-1} - H^3_{-2}H^5_{-2} - 2\,H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1} + {H^4_{-2}}^2 - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^4 + 2\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-1} \\
&-2\,{H^3{}_{-2}}^2H^4_{-2}\Big)p_5 + N_4\,p_4 + N_3\,p_3 + N_0 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{10} =& {p_5}^2 - p_4p_6 + \dots \\
=& {p_5}^2 - p_4{p_3}^2 + 2\,H^3_{-2}{p_3}^3 - \left(-H^4_{-2} - 2\,H^3_{-1} + 5\,{H^3_{-2}}^2\right)p_3p_5 \\
&- \left(2\,H^5_{-2} + 6\,H^3_{-1}H^3_{-2} - H^4_{-1} - H^4_{-2}H^3_{-2} + {H^3_{-2}}^3\right)p_3p_4 \\
&- \left(2\,H^5_{-1} - H^4_{-1}H^3_{-2} - 2\,H^3_1 + {H^3_{-1}}^2 - H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1} + {H^3_{-2}}^2H^3_{-1} + {H^3_{-2}}^4 - 2\,H^3_{-2}H^5_{-2}\right){p_3}^2 \\
&- \Big(-H^4_1 + H^4_{-2}H^4_{-1} - 4\,H^3_{-2}H^5_{-1} + 8\,H^3_1H^3_{-2} - H^5_{-2}{H^3_{-2}}^2 - H^5_{-2}H^4_{-2} - 5\,H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^3_{-2} - 2\,H^3_2 \\
&-2\,{H^3_{-2}}^5 - 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^3_{-2} + {H^4_{-2}}^2H^3_{-2} - H^4_{-2}{H^3_{-2}}^3 - H^4_{-1}H^3_{-1} + H^4_{-1}{H^3_{-2}}^2 + 3\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_{-1}\Big)p_5 \\
&+ B_4\,p_4 + B_3\,p_3 + B_0 = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

where the coefficients N_i and B_i are given in Appendix D. These three constraints are not independent since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(p_3 + 2\,H^4_{-1} - H^5_{-2} - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3 - 3\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-2} + 3\,H^3_{-1}H^3_{-2})\mathcal{C}_{10} \\
&+ (p_4 - 2\,H^3_{-2}p_3 + 2\,H^5_{-1} + 3\,{H^3_{-2}}^4 - 2\,H^3_1 - 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 3\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-1} - 2\,{H^4_{-2}}^2 + 6\,H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1} \\
&+ 2\,H^3_{-2}H^5_{-2} + 3\,H^4_{-2}{H^3_{-2}}^2 - 2\,H^3_{-1}{H^3_{-2}}^2)\mathcal{C}_9 \\
&+ (-p_5 + (-3\,H^3_{-1} + H^4_{-2})p_3 + (H^4_{-2}H^5_{-2} + 3\,H^3_{-2}H^5_{-1} - 3\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^3_{-2} + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_{-1} \\
&- 2\,H^3_{-1}H^5_{-2} + {H^3_{-2}}^5 + H^4_1 - 3\,H^3_2 + 5\,H^3_{-1}H^3_{-2}H^4_{-2} + {H^3_{-2}}^3H^4_{-2} + 2\,H^5_{-2}{H^3_{-2}}^2 - H^4_{-1}H^4_{-2} \\
&- {H^3_{-2}}^2H^4_{-1} - H^3_{-2}{H^4_{-2}}^2 - 3\,H^3_1H^3_{-2} + H^4_{-1}H^3_{-1}))\mathcal{C}_8 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{104}$$

There are infinitely many other constraints between p_3, p_4, and p_5. Two of them are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{10} =& p_3p_7 - p_4p_6 + \dots = -2H^3_{-2}\mathcal{C}_9 + (2H^3_{-1} + {H^3_{-1}}^2)\mathcal{C}_8, \\
\mathcal{C}_{11} =& p_3p_8 - p_5p_6 + \dots = 2\,H^3_{-2}\mathcal{C}_{10} + \left(-2H^3_{-1} - {H^3_{-2}}^2\right)\mathcal{C}_9.
\end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

An important constraint is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{12} =& p_4p_8 - {p_6}^2 + \dots \\
=& \mathcal{F}^2_{34} = {p_4}^3 - {p_3}^4 + 4\,H^3_{-2}p_3{p_4}^2 + \left(-3\,H^4_{-2} + 4\,H^3_{-1} + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^2\right){p_3}^2p_4 + \left(-3\,H^4_{-1} - 2\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-2}\right){p_3}^3 \\
&+ Q_8{p_4}^2 + Q_7p_4p_3 + Q_6{p_3}^2 + Q_4p_4 + Q_3p_3 + Q_0 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

The coefficients Q_i of this trigonal curve are given in Appendix D. One has

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^2_{34} =&(p_4 + 3\,H^3_{-2}p_3 + 3\,H^3_{-1}{H^3_{-2}}^2 + 3\,H^3_1 - H^5_{-1} - H^3_{-2}H^5_{-2} - 2\,H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1} + {H^4_{-2}}^2 - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^4 \\ &+ 2\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-1} - 2\,H^4_{-2}{H^3_{-2}}^2)\mathcal{C}_8 + (-p_3 - 2\,H^4_{-1} + 3\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-2} + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3 + H^5_{-2} - 3\,H^3_{-1}H^3_{-2})\mathcal{C}_9.\end{aligned} \tag{107}$$

i.e. $\mathcal{F}^2_{34}$ belongs to the ideal $\langle \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_9\rangle$.

Constraints (101),(102) and (103) show that any element of the algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2}}$ can be represented in the form

$$p_n = a_n(p_3) + b_n(p_3)p_4 + c_n(p_3)p_5, \qquad n = 3, 4, 5, \dots \tag{108}$$

where a_n, b_n, and c_n are polynomials.

This observation allows us to prove the following

Proposition 7.3 *Algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2}}$ with fixed H^j_k is equivalent to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[p_3, p_4, p_5]/\langle \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_{10}\rangle$.*

The proof is based on the

Lemma 7.4 *For any constraint*

$$f(p_3, p_4, p_5) = 0 \tag{109}$$

arising from the system of equations (96), the polynomials $f(p_3, p_4, p_5) = 0$ belong to the ideal generated by $\mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_9$ and $\mathcal{C}_{10}$.

Proof The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.3. Indeed we assume that f does not belongs to the ideal $\langle \mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_{10}\rangle$. Hence

$$f(p_3, p_4, p_5) = q_8(p_3, p_4, p_5)\mathcal{C}_8 + q_9(p_3, p_4, p_5)\mathcal{C}_9 + q_{10}(p_3, p_4, p_5)\mathcal{C}_{10} + R(p_3, p_4, p_5) \tag{110}$$

where q_8, q_9, q_{10} are some polynomials and $R(p_3, p_4, p_5)$ is not identically zero. Since

$$R(p_3, p_4, p_5) = f(p_3, p_4, p_5)\Big|_{\mathcal{C}_8=\mathcal{C}_9=\mathcal{C}_{10}=0} \tag{111}$$

the rest $R(p_3, p_4, p_5)$ has the form

$$R(p_3, p_4, p_5) = A(p_3) + B(p_3)p_4 + C(p_3)p_5 \tag{112}$$

where A, B and C are certain polynomials in p_3. Our assumption due to (101-103) is equivalent to the existence of nonzero A, B and C such that

$$A(p_3) + B(p_3)p_4 + C(p_3)p_5 = 0. \tag{113}$$

Since the numbers $3n$,$3m + 4$, and $3l + 5$ for positive integers n, m, l never coincide, the count of gradation or power of Laurent series shows that the three terms in (113) always have different degrees. Consequently equation (113) has no nontrivial solutions. □

Similar to the previous section one can treat p_3,p_4,$p_5, \dots$ for given H^i_k and z as the local affine coordinates in $\Sigma_{1,2}$. Thus one has

Proposition 7.5 *The stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$ contains an infinite family Γ^2_∞ of infinite-dimensional algebraic varieties defined by the quadrics*

$$\begin{aligned}f_{nm} =&p_{n+m} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+2} H^n_{m-i}p_i + \sum_{i=3}^{m-1} H^n_{m-i}p_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+2} H^m_{n-i}p_i + \sum_{i=3}^{n-1} H^m_{n-i}p_i + H^n_m + H^m_n \\ &+ H^n_{-2}H^m_{-2}p_4 + (H^n_{-1}H^m_{-2} + H^n_{-2}H^m_{-1})p_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{2}(H^n_{-i}H^m_i + H^m_{-i}H^n_i) = 0, \qquad n, m = 3, 4, 5, \dots\end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

and varying with parameters H^n_m obeying the algebraic equation (98). The prime ideal $I(\Gamma^2_\infty)$ of Γ^2_∞ is generated by $\mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_9, \mathcal{C}_{10}$ and

$$h^{(2)}_n = p_n - a_n(p_3) - b_n(p_3)p_4 - c_n(p_3)p_5, \qquad n = 6, 7, 8, \dots\ . \tag{115}$$

Proof Proof is based on the equivalence of the set of equations (96) to the equations (101-103) and (108). The constraint $\mathcal{C}_{10} = 0$ is necessary to guarantee the irreducibility of varieties. For the discussion of this point in the case of all $H^n_m = 0$ see e.g. [56]. □

The family Γ^2_∞ of algebraic curves contains the plane trigonal curve given by the equation $\mathcal{F}^2_{34} = 0$. It has genus two. The family Γ^2_∞ includes also the plane $(4, 5)$ curve $\mathcal{C}_{20} = \mathcal{F}^2_{45} = 0$. It is too complicated to be presented here. In the three dimensional space with coordinates p_3, p_4, p_5 equations (101-103) define an irreducible algebraic curve Γ. It is the intersection of well-known surfaces. For instance, the surface defined by the equation $\mathcal{C}_{10} = 0$ is the celebrated Whitney umbrella (see e.g. [56]). On the other hand equation $\mathcal{F}^2_{34} = 0$ defines the cylindrical surface generated by the trigonal curve. So, the curve Γ is the intersection of the Whitney umbrella surface (see e.g. [5]) and the cylindrical surfaces generated by the trigonal curve. So one expects that the curve Γ has genus two.

Proposition 7.6 *Index*$(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2}}) = -2$.

Proof $S_{\widetilde{W}_{1,2}} = \{-2, -1, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and hence $\mathrm{card}\{S_{W_{1,2}} - \mathbb{N}\} = 0$ and $\mathrm{card}\{S_{\widetilde{W}_{1,2}} - \mathbb{N}\} = 2$ □

We note that similar to the first stratum for a generic curve Γ one has

$$\mathrm{genus}(\Gamma) + \mathrm{index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2}}) = 0. \tag{116}$$

Now let us consider the stratum Σ_2^* with $S_{\Sigma_2^*} = \{-1, 0, 1, 3, \dots\}$. It has the codimension 3, i.e. one half of $\mathrm{codim}(\Sigma_{1,2})$. On the other hand a basis in Σ_2^* contains an element of the first order. Hence in Σ_2^* there is the canonical basis given by formulae (95) with H^i_{-1}, $i = 3, 4, 5, \dots$. Thus, the results formulated above in Lemmas (7.1), (5.3) and Propositions (7.2),(7.3) (7.5) with $H^i_{-1} = 0$, $i = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ are valid for the stratum Σ_2^* too. In contrast, $\mathrm{codim}(W_2^*) = 1$ and $\mathrm{index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_2^*})= \mathrm{card}(\varnothing)\text{-}\mathrm{card}(\{-2\}) = -1$.

8 Higher strata. Plane $(n+1, n+2)$ curves

For the higher strata all calculations and formulas become much more involved. For the stratum $\Sigma_{1,2,3}$ with $S = \{-3, -2, -1, 0, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$ the canonical basis have the form

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 =& 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^0_k}{z^k}, \\ p_i =& z^i + \sum_{k=-3}^{-1} \frac{H^i_k}{z^k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^i_k}{z^k}, \qquad i = 4, 5, 6, \dots . \end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

Again only the elements of positive order may be involved in $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$.

The Laurent series (117) obey the analogue of equations (96) if $H^0_k = 0$ and H^i_k, $i = 4, 5, 6, \dots$ satisfy a system of quadratic equations analogue to (98). As a consequence $\Sigma_{1,2,3}$ contains the subspace $W_{1,2,3}$ closed with respect to multiplication $W_{1,2,3} \cdot W_{1,2,3} \subset W_{1,2,3}$. This subspace is the infinite dimensional algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2,3}}$ with the basis $(1, p_4, p_5, p_6, \dots)$. The algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2,3}}$ is generated by four elements p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7. These elements are not free and obey several constraints. They can be obtained exactly in the same manner for $\Sigma_{1,2}$. The corresponding expressions are pretty long. To give an idea of their form and number we will present them in the case when all $H^i_k = 0$, i.e. $p_i = z^i$. Since $p_i p_j = p_{i+j}$, $i, j = 4, 5, 6, \dots$, the simplest constraints are

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{10} = {p_5}^2 - p_4 p_6, \quad C_{11} = p_5 p_6 - p_4 p_7, \quad C_{12} = {p_6}^2 - p_4 p_7, \quad \widetilde{C}_{12} = p_7 p_5 - {p_4}^3, \\ &C_{13} = p_6 p_7 - {p_4}^2 p_5, \quad C_{14} = {p_7}^2 - {p_4}^2 p_6, \quad \widetilde{C}_{14} = {p_7}^2 - {p_5}^2 p_4. \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

First three of the constraints (118) are independent. Others are not since

$$\begin{aligned} p_4 \widetilde{C}_{12} =& p_4 C_{12} + p_6 C_{10} - p_5 C_{11}, \\ p_4 C_{13} =& p_5 C_{12} - p_6 C_{11}, \\ p_4 C_{14} =& - p_7 C_{11} + p_6 \widetilde{C}_{12} = {p_6}^2 C_{10} - (p_7 + p_5 p_6) C_{11} + p_4 p_6 C_{12}, \\ \widetilde{C}_{14} =& C_{14} - p_4 C_{10}. \end{aligned} \tag{119}$$

It is easy to show also that the constraints (118) imply that

$$C_{20} = {p_4}^5 - {p_5}^4 = 0. \tag{120}$$

Constraints (118) imply that the general element of the algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2,3}}$ in this case has the form

$$p_k = A_k(p_4) + B_k(p_4) p_5 + C_k(p_4) p_6 + D_k(p_4) p_7 \tag{121}$$

where A_k, B_k, C_k, D_k are certain polynomials. This observation allows us to prove that for any constraint $f(p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7) = 0$ arising from the equations $p_i p_j = p_{i+j}$ the polynomial $f(p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7)$ belongs to the ideal generated by C_{10}, C_{11}, and C_{12}. Indeed, since $f(p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7)|_{C_{10}=C_{11}=C_{12}=0} = A(p_4) + B(p_4) p_5 + C(p_4) p_6 + D(p_4) p_7$ with some polynomials A, B, C, D, the r.h.s. of this formula is identically zero, due to the fact that the integers $4n, 4m+5, 4l+6, 4k+7$ are always distinct.

So the algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2,3}}(H^i_k = 0)$ is equivalent to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7]/\langle C_{10}, C_{11}, C_{12}\rangle$. Geometrically the subspace $W_{1,2,3}$ is the infinite family of the algebraic varieties with the $(4, 5)$ curve (120) in the basis.

Taking into account these observations it is natural to conjecture that in general case $H^i_k \neq 0$ one has similar results for the algebra A_{Σ_j} and affine algebraic variety.

In the general case one has

Proposition 8.1 *Index*$(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2,3}}) = -3$.

Proof $S_{W_{1,2,3}} - \mathbb{N} = \varnothing$, $S_{\widetilde{W}_3} - \mathbb{N} = \{-3,-2,-1\}$. □

This result suggests to conjecture that the curve $\mathcal{F}^3_{45}$ and the basic curve Γ in the four dimensional space with the coordinates (p_4, p_5, p_6, p_7) defined by the equations $C_{10} = C_{11} = C_{12} = 0$ have genus 3.

For n-th stratum $\Sigma_{1,2,\dots,n}$ associated with the set $S = \{-n, -n+1, \dots, -1, 0, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ the closed subspace $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ $(W_{1,2,\dots,n} \cdot W_{1,2,\dots,n} \subset W_{1,2,\dots,n})$ has the basis $(1, p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \dots)$ with

$$p_i = z^i + \sum_{k=1}^{i} H^i_{-k} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^i_k}{z^k} \tag{122}$$

and H^i_j obeying the system of quadratic algebraic equations analogue to (98). Algebraically $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is the infinite family of infinite-dimensional algebra generated by $n+1$ elements $(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \dots, p_{2n+1})$ modulo n independent constraints

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2n+4} =& p_{n+1}p_{n+3} - {p_{n+2}}^2 + \dots = 0, \\ C_{2n+5} =& p_{n+1}p_{n+4} - p_{n+2}p_{n+3} + \dots = 0, \\ \dots& \\ C_{3n+3} =& p_{n+1}p_{2n+2} - p_{n+2}p_{2n+1} + \dots = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

These constraints imply that any element of the algebra $A_{\Sigma_{1,2,\dots,n}}$ can be represented as

$$p_n = \alpha_{n0}(p_{n+1}) + \sum_{k=n+2}^{2n+1} \alpha_{nk}(p_{n+1}) p_k \tag{124}$$

where α_{nk} are certain polynomials. Geometrically $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is the infinite-dimensional algebraic varieties varying with parameters H^j_k $(i = n+1, n+2, \dots,\ k = 1, 2, \dots)$. In the base of this family there is an algebraic curve in $n+1$-dimensional subspace with coordinates $(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \dots, p_{2n+1})$ defined by n constraints mentioned above. An ideal of this curve contains the element

$$\mathcal{F}^n_{n+1,n+2} = {p_{n+1}}^{n+2} - {p_{n+2}}^{n+1} + \dots \tag{125}$$

which defines a $(n+1, n+2)$ curve in the two dimensional subspace with coordinates (p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}).

These statements are easily provable in the trivial case when $p_i = z^i$. General case will be considered elsewhere. In general case one has

Proposition 8.2 *Index*$(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2,\dots,n}}) = -n$.

Proof is straightforward.

This observation and results obtained in the previous section suggests to formulate the following

Conjecture 8.3 *The stratum $\Sigma_{1,2,\dots,n}$ contains the subset $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ of codimension n closed with respect to pointwise multiplication. Algebraically $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is the infinite family of polynomial algebras equivalent to the coordinate ring*

$$\mathbb{C}[p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, p_{n+3}, \dots, p_{2n+1}]/C_{2n+4}, C_{2n+5}, \dots C_{3n+3} \tag{126}$$

with $C_{2n+4}, C_{2n+5}, \dots C_{3n+3}$ given by (123). Geometrically $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is the infinite family of algebraic curves with the basic algebraic curve Γ in the $n+1$-dimensional subspace with the local affine coordinates $(p_{n+1}, p_{n+2}, \dots, p_{2n+1})$ defined by equations (123). $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ at fixed H^j_k contains the plane $(n+1, n+2)$ curves given by the equation $\mathcal{F}^n_{n+1,n+2} = 0$ of genus n. Curves Γ has genus n too. Moreover Index$(\overline{\partial}_{W_{1,2,\dots,n}}) = -n$.

We will analyze this conjecture and we will study other Birkhoff strata in separate paper.

9 Birkhoff strata for $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ and hyperelliptic curves

Universal Sato Grassmannian Gr contains various special Grassmannians. The most known and studied are Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}^{(n)}$ of all subspaces W of the space of formal Laurent series obeying the constraint $z^n \cdot W \subset W$ [20, 19]. For such Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}^{(n)}$ the analysis similar to that performed in previous sections is simplified drastically.

Here we consider the simplest case of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$. The condition

$$z^2 \cdot W \subset W \tag{127}$$

imposes severe constraints on the elements p_i of the basis and structure of Birkhoff strata. Birkhoff strata Σ_S in $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ are associated with the sets $S + 2 \subset S$, i.e. all of them have the form [20, 19]

$$S_m = \{-m, -m+2, -m+4, \dots, m, m+1, m+2, \dots\} \tag{128}$$

with $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The codimension of the stratum Σ_{S_m} is equal to $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$. We, similar to the general case, consider only strata for which $0 \in S_m$, i.e. the strata $\Sigma_{S_{2n}}$. In these cases

$$S_{2n} = \{-2n, -2n+2, \dots, 0, 2, 4, \dots, 2n, 2n+1, 2n+2, \dots\} \tag{129}$$

with $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. So, the basis in the stratum $\Sigma_{S_{2n}}$ does not contain elements $p_1, p_3, \dots, p_{2n-1}$.

For the big cell in $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ the canonical basis is given by (10). One has

Lemma 9.1 *Laurent series (10) obey the equations (11) and condition (127) iff*

$$\begin{aligned} H_i^{2n} &= 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ H_{2m}^{2n+1} &= 0, \qquad n, m = 1, 2, 3 \dots \end{aligned} \tag{130}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2n}p_{2m} &= p_{2(n+m)}, \qquad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ p_{2n+1}p_{2m} &= p_{2(n+m)+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} H_{2i+1}^{2n+1} p_{2(m-i)-1}, \qquad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ p_{2n+1}p_{2m+1} &= p_{2(n+m+1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_{2i+1}^{2m+1} p_{2m-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{2i+1}^{2n+1} p_{2n-i}, \end{aligned} \tag{131}$$

i.e. $p_{2n} = z^{2n}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$

These properties are obviously those given in (11),(14) under the reduction $p_2 = z^2$. Consequently, for the big cell $\Sigma_0^{(2)}$ in $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ one has reduced version of the Proposition 3.2. A version of the relation (16) is

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 &= {p_1}^2 - 2H_1^1, \\ p_3 &= {p_1}^3 - 3H_1^1 p_1, \\ z^4 &= {p_1}^4 - 4H_1^1 {p_1}^2 + 4{H_1^1}^2, \\ p_5 &= {p_1}^5 - 5H_1^1 {p_1}^3 + \frac{15}{2}{H_1^1}^2 p_1 \end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

or equivalently

$$\lambda = {p_1}^2 - 2H_1^1, \qquad p_{2n+1} = \alpha_n(\lambda) p_1 \tag{133}$$

where $\lambda = z^2$ and $\alpha_n(\lambda) = \lambda^n + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_k \lambda^k$ are certain polynomials.

Proposition 9.2 *The big cell contains an infinite family of normal rational curves associated with ideal*

$$I_0^{(2)} = \langle \lambda - {p_1}^2 + 2H_1^1,\, l_1^{(2)},\, l_2^{(2)}, \dots \rangle \tag{134}$$

where $l_n^{(2)} = p_{2n+1} - \alpha_n(\lambda) p_1$. *This family includes an infinite family of singular hyperelliptic curves of genus zero given by*

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{(2)} = {p_{2n+1}}^2 - (\lambda + 2H_1^1)\alpha_n^2(\lambda) = 0, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots . \tag{135}$$

Proof Proof is the same as for the Proposition 3.3. Formula (135) is an immediate consequence of (133). $\square$

For the first stratum $\Sigma_2^{(2)}$ the results formulated in Section 5 remain valid with the additional constraint $p_2 = z^2$. So one has $p_{2n} = (z^2)^n$ and

$$p_{2n+1} = z^{2n+1} + H_{-1}^{2n+1} z + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_{2m+1}^{2n+1}}{z^{2m+1}}, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots . \tag{136}$$

One also has

$$p_{2n+1} = \beta_n(\lambda) p_3, \qquad n = 2, 3, \dots \tag{137}$$

where $\beta_n(\lambda)$ are certain polynomials of order $n-1$. As analog of Proposition 5.5 one has

Proposition 9.3 *The stratum* $\Sigma_2^{(2)}$ *contains an infinite family of algebraic varieties associated with the ideal*

$$I^{(2)} = \langle C_6^{(2)},\, l_5^{(2)},\, l_7^{(2)}, \dots \rangle \tag{138}$$

where

$$C_6^{(2)} = {p_3}^2 - \left(\lambda^3 + 2H_{-1}^3 \lambda^2 + \left({H_{-1}^3}^2 + 2H_1^3\right)\lambda + 2H_3^3 + 2H_{-1}^3 H_1^3\right) \tag{139}$$

and

$$l_{2n+1}^{(2)} = p_{2n+1} - \beta_n(\lambda) p_3, \qquad \beta_n(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda], \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots . \tag{140}$$

This family contains the elliptic curve $C_6^{(2)} = 0$ *of genus one in the base and an infinite family of genus one hyperelliptic curves*

$${p_{2n+1}}^2 = \beta_n^2(\lambda)\left(\lambda^3 + 2H_{-1}^3 \lambda^2 + \left({H_{-1}^3}^2 + 2H_1^3\right)\lambda + 2H_3^3 + 2H_{-1}^3 H_1^3\right), \qquad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots . \tag{141}$$

Proof Proof again is the same as the Proposition 5.5 while (141) is a consequence of (137) and (139). □

In the general case of the stratum $\Sigma^{(2)}_{2n}$ the positive order elements of the canonical basis are

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 =& 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^0_k}{z^k}, \\ p_{2m} =& z^{2m} p_0, \qquad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ p_{2m+1} =& z^{2m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} H^{2m+1}_{-k} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^{2m+1}_k}{z^k}, \qquad n, n+1, n+2, \dots \ . \end{aligned} \tag{142}$$

Lemma 9.4 *Laurent series (142) satisfy the equations*

$$p_i(z) p_j(z) = \sum_l C^l_{ij} p_l(z) \tag{143}$$

iff

$$\begin{aligned} H^0_k =& 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ H^{2m+1}_{2l} =& 0, \qquad m = n, n+1, n+2, \dots, \quad l = -2n, -2n-2, -2n-4, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0}^{r} H^{2i+1}_{2a+1} H^{2j+1}_{2(r-a)} =& H^{2(i+j+1)}_{2r+1} + \sum_{q=-n-1}^{j} H^{2i+1}_{2q+1} H^{2(j-q)}_{2r+1} + \sum_{q=-n-1}^{j} H^{2j+1}_{2q+1} H^{2(i-q)}_{2r+1} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{s,q=-n-1 \\ s+q\geq 1}}^{\infty} H^{2i+1}_{2s+1} H^{2j+1}_{2q+1} H^{2(s+q+1)}_{2r+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{145}$$

Proof Proof is based on the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} p_{2j} p_{2k} =& p_{2(j+k)}, \\ p_{2j} p_{2k+1} =& p_{2(j+k)+1} + \sum_{s=-n}^{k-1} H^{2j+1}_{2s+1} p_{2(k-s)-1}, \\ p_{2j+1} p_{2k+1} =& p_{2(j+k+1)} + \sum_{s=-n}^{j} H^{2j+1}_{2s+1} p_{2(j-s)} + \sum_{s=-n}^{k} H^{2k+1}_{2s+1} p_{2(k-s)} \\ &+ \sum_{s=-n}^{-1} \sum_{r=-n}^{-1} H^{2j+1}_{2s+1} H^{2k+1}_{2r+1} p_{-2(s+r+1)} + \sum_{s=-n}^{-1} \sum_{r=0}^{-s-1} H^{2j+1}_{2s+1} H^{2k+1}_{2r+1} p_{-2(s+r+1)} \\ &+ \sum_{r=-n}^{-1} \sum_{s=0}^{-r-1} H^{2j+1}_{2s+1} H^{2k+1}_{2r+1} p_{-2(s+r+1)} \end{aligned} \tag{146}$$

In particular these relations imply that $p_{2m} = {p_2}^m$ and

$$p_{2m+1} = \alpha_m(\lambda) p_{2n+1}, \qquad n+1, n+2, n+3, \dots \tag{147}$$

where $\alpha_m(\lambda)$ are certain polynomials of order $m - n$. □

Consequently one has

Proposition 9.5 *The stratum $\Sigma^{(2)}_{2n}$ contains the subset $W^{(2)}_{2n}$ closed with respect to pointwise multiplications $W^{(2)}_{2n}(H) \cdot W^{(2)}_{2n}(H) \subset W^{(2)}_{2n}(H)$. Elements of $W^{(2)}_{2n}$ are vector spaces with basis $\langle \lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+3}, \dots \rangle_i$ with parameters H^{2m+1}_k obeying the conditions (144) and (145).*

Proposition 9.6 *The subset $W^{(2)}_{2n}$ is the infinite family of infinite-dimensional associative algebra $A_{W^{(2)}_{2n}}$ with the basis z^{2n} ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), $p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+3}, \dots$ and $A_{W^{(2)}_{2n}} = \mathbb{C}[z^2, p_{2n+1}] / \ \mathcal{G}^{(2)}_{2,2n}$ where*

$$\mathcal{G}^{(2)}_{2,2n} = {p_{2n+1}}^2 - \lambda^{2n+1} - \sum_{m=0}^{2n} u_m \lambda^m \tag{148}$$

with $\lambda = z^2$ and u_m are certain polynomials in H^{2n+1}_{2k+1}, $\qquad k = -n, -n+1, \dots, 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof Proof is based on the relation (147). The relation (148) follows from (146) at $i = j = n$, ${p_{2n+1}}^2 = p_{2(2n+1)} + u_{2n} p_{2(2n)} + \dots$. The fact that all other constraints

$$ {p_{2l+1}}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2l+1} v_k \lambda^k, \qquad l = n+1, n+2, \dots \tag{149} $$

are satisfied due to the constraint (148) is the consequence of divisibility of all polynomials $\sum_{k=0}^{2l+1} v_k \lambda^k$ given in (149) by the polynomial $\sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} u_k \lambda^k$ given in (148) namely

$$ \sum_{k=0}^{2l+1} v_k \lambda^k = {\alpha_l}^2(\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{2n+1} u_k \lambda^k. \tag{150} $$

□

Interpreting $z, p_{2n+1}, p_{2n+3}, \dots$ as the local affine coordinates one has

Proposition 9.7 *The subset* $W_{2n}^{(2)}$ *is an infinite family* $\Gamma_\infty^{(2)}$ *of algebraic varieties corresponding to the ideal* $I^{(2)}$ *generated by* $\mathcal{G}_{2,2n}^{(2)}$ *and* $l_m^{(2)} = p_{2m+1} - \alpha_m(\lambda) p_{2n+1}$*,* $m = n+1, n+2, \dots$ *. It contains the hyperelliptic curve (148) of genus* n *in the base and an infinite tower of hyperelliptic curves given by (149) of orders* $2(n+1)+1, 2(n+2)+1, \dots$ *and genus* n*. Codim*$(W_2^{(2)} n) = n$ *and Index*$(\overline{\partial}_{W_2^{(2)} n}) = -n$*.*

Proof The hyperelliptic curves given by (149) have genus n due to (150). Due to (129) $S_{\widetilde{W}_{2n}} = \{-2n+1, -2n+3, \dots, -3, -1, \dots, 2n+1, 2n+2, \dots\}$ and $\text{Index}(\overline{\partial}_{W_2^{(2)} n})$ =card($\varnothing$)-card($\{-2n+1, -2n+3, \dots, -3, -1\}$) $= -n$. □

Part of the results presented here were obtained earlier in a different way in the paper [45].

Thus, the stratum Σ_{2n} is characterized by the presence of families of plane hyperelliptic curves C_{2n+1} of genus n in the closed subset W_{2n}. This is due to the presence of n gaps (elements $p_1(z)$, $p_3(z), \dots, p_{2n-1}(z)$) in the basis of W_{2n}. The fact that for hyperelliptic curves (Riemann surfaces) of genus n one has n (Weierstrass) gaps in a generic point is well known in the theory of abelian functions (see e.g. [58]). Probably not that known observation is that these gaps and consequently the properties of corresponding algebraic curves are prescribed by the structure of the Birkhoff strata Σ_{2n} in $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$.

Stratification of Grassmannians $\mathrm{Gr}^{(n)}$ and associated algebraic varieties and curves will be studied elsewhere.

10 Resolution of singularities and transitions between strata

In the previous section it was shown that each Birkhoff strata contains infinite towers of families of algebraic curves. Generically these curves are regular. On the other hand it was also noted that the projection of these regular curves on the lower dimensional subspaces in the same stratum are represented by the higher order singular curves which appear in the higher strata as regular curve. This observation clearly indicates that there is intimate interconnection between the curves of the same type in different strata. It suggests also to adopt wider approach in analyzing the possible mechanisms of resolution of singularities of such curves.

Let us begin with the simplest example of the twisted cubic in the big cell defined by the equations

$$ \begin{aligned} q_2 =& {q_1}^2 - 2H_1^1, \\ q_2 =& {q_1}^3 - 3H_1^1 q_1 - 3H_2^1. \end{aligned} \tag{151} $$

To avoid confusion we denote here the coordinate in $\Sigma_\varnothing$ by (q_1, q_2, q_3). Its general projection on the two dimensional subspace $\Sigma_\varnothing$ with coordinates (k_2, k_3) is given by the plane cubic

$$ \left(k_3 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha\, k_2 + 3\, H_2^1 + \frac{3}{2}\, H_1^1 \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\,\alpha^3 + \frac{1}{2}\,\beta\,\alpha\right)^2 = \left(k_2 + 2\, H_1^1 + \frac{1}{4}\,\alpha^2\right)\left(k_2 - H_1^1 + \beta + \alpha^2\right)^2 \tag{152} $$

The nodal cubic (152) has polynomial parameterization

$$ \begin{aligned} k_2 =& {k_1}^2 + \alpha k_1 - 2H_1^1, \\ k_3 =& {k_1}^3 + (\beta - 3H_1^1) k_1 - 3H_2^1. \end{aligned} \tag{153} $$

It has ordinary double point at $k_2 = H_1^1 - \beta - \alpha^2$, $k_3 = -3\, H_2^1 - 3\, H_1^1 \alpha + \alpha^3 + \beta\,\alpha$ and zero genus.

A standard way to resolve this singularity is to blow-up it by quadratic transformation (see e.g. [5, 57]). For simplicity we will consider the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$. An appropriate quadratic transformation in this case is of the form

$$ k_3 = \tilde{k}\left(k_2 - H_1^1\right) - 3H_2^1. \tag{154} $$

In virtue of equation (152) with $\alpha = \beta = 0$ the new variable $\tilde{k}$ obeys also the equation

$$\tilde{k}^2 - \left(k_2 + 2H_1^1\right) = 0. \tag{155}$$

The system of equations (154) and (155) defines the curve in the three-dimensional space $(\tilde{k}, k_2, k_3)$. This system is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 - H_1^1 =& \tilde{k}^2 - 3H_1^1, \\ k_3 + 3H_2^1 =& \tilde{k}^3 - 3H_1^1\tilde{k}. \end{aligned} \tag{156}$$

So two points $\left(3\sqrt{H_1^1}, H_1^1, -3H_2^1\right)$ and $\left(-3\sqrt{H_1^1}, H_1^1, -3H_2^1\right)$ on the three dimensional curve defined by (156) correspond to the ordinary double point $\left(H_1^1, -3H_2^1\right)$ of the plane curve (152). Moreover, comparing (156) and (151), one concludes that the three dimensional curve is nothing but the twisted cubic (151). Twisted cubic is regular. So the transformation (154) represents a resolution of singularity (blowing-up) of the curve (152). This observation is very natural and almost trivial, since the curve (152), has been obtained as the projection of the original twisted cubic.

Important features of such regularization is that the regularized curve (152) is the curve in three-dimensional space. It belongs to the same stratum $\Sigma_\varnothing$ and the genus of the regularized curve remains to be zero.

The presence of the elliptic curve (60) in the first stratum Σ_1 indicates the existence of a different regularization procedure. Generically the curve (60) has genus one and p_2, p_3 are full Laurent series (47) with H_k^i obeying to the constraints (50). An important property of these constraints is that the system (50) does not have reductions for which $H_m^j = 0$ for $m \geq n$. It is a well known fact for the Weierstrass reduction (85). So p_2 and p_3 are either full Laurent series or polynomials $p_2^s = z^2 + H_{-1}^2 z$, $p_3^s = z^3 + H_{-1}^3 z$. In the latter case the cubic curve (60) is singular and has the form

$$\left(p_3 + \frac{3}{2}\,H_{-1}^2 p_2 + \frac{1}{2}\,H_{-1}^2 H_{-1}^3 + \frac{1}{2}\,{H_{-1}^2}^3\right)^2 - \left(p_2 + \frac{1}{4}{H_{-1}^2}^2\right)\left(p_2 + H_{-1}^3 + {H_{-1}^2}^2\right)^2 = 0. \tag{157}$$

Now let us compare singular curves (157) and (152). Taking into account (153), one readily concludes that they represent the same curve with correspondence

$$\alpha \leftrightarrow H_{-1}^2, \quad \beta - 3H_1^1 \leftrightarrow H_{-1}^3, \quad p_1 \leftrightarrow z, \quad p_2 \leftrightarrow k_2 + 2H_1^1, \quad p_3 \leftrightarrow k_3 + 3H_2^1. \tag{158}$$

So, the boundary form of the elliptic curve (60) on the boundary Δ_{01} between strata Σ_1 and $\Sigma_\varnothing$ coincides with the projection of the twisted cubic (151) on this boundary from the side of $\Sigma_\varnothing$.

This observation suggests the following mechanism for transition between the subspaces $W_\varnothing$ and W_1 of the strata $\Sigma_\varnothing$ and Σ_1. Inside $W_\varnothing$ one has the twisted cubic (151). Its form on boundary Δ_{01} from the side of $\Sigma_\varnothing$ is given by the nodal cubic (152). It coincides (under the identification with the boundary form (157)) with the elliptic curve (60). In order to move inside Σ_1 the polynomials (153) should become the Laurent series

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 + 2H_1^1 \to& {k_1}^2 + \alpha k_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n^2}{{k_1}^n}, \\ k_3 + 3H_2^1 \to& {k_1}^3 + \left(\beta - 3H_1^1\right) k_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n^3}{{k_1}^n}, \end{aligned} \tag{159}$$

where H_j^2 and H_j^3 should obey the constraints (50). We emphasize that in the transition $W_\varnothing \to W_1$ the variable $k_1 = p_1$ in $\Sigma_\varnothing$ becomes the formal variable z in Σ_1.

The transition from the elliptic curve (60) to the twisted cubic in $\Sigma_\varnothing$ is just the inverse process. The boundary form (157) of the elliptic genus one curve (60) on Δ_{01} from the side of Σ_1 is obtained by cutting the Laurent tails of p_2 and p_3. Passing to $\Sigma_\varnothing$ one has the curve (152). Then blowing-up the singularity, one gets the twisted cubic.

In such a transition mechanism both generic curves in the strata $\Sigma_\varnothing$ and Σ_1, i.e. the twisted cubic (151) and elliptic curve (60) are regular, but have different genus. This mechanism provides us with the method of regularization of the nodal cubic (157) by instantaneous growing-up the full Laurent tail according to (159).

This mechanism is valid also for the transition between entire infinite- dimensional $W_\varnothing$ and W_1.

Now let us consider the quintic (33). It has two ordinary double points. Complete resolution of these singularities without changing the genus (zero) is performed by quadratic transformations in two steps. In the final form it is given by the first four equations (16) and the fifth order Veronese curve in the space with coodinates $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5)$ is the corresponding regularized curve.

The regularization of the quintic (33) by increasing genus to one is provided by the transition to the quintic (75) via the procedure of rising the Laurent tail of the type (159). Cutting the Laurent tail one passes from the stratum Σ_1 to $\Sigma_\varnothing$.

Similar procedure of resolution of singularities take place for trigonal curve (31) and $(4, 5)$ curve (34). In the big cell their genus one regularized version are given by the curves (77) and (80).

Singularities of trigonal curve (77) in the first stratum can be resolved again in two ways . The first way consists in performing quadratic transformations from p_4 to the new variable p_2 defined by

$$p_4 = {p_2}^2 - 2H^2_{-1}p_3 - {H^2_{-1}}^2 p_2 - 2H^2_{-1}H^1_1 - 2H^2_2. \tag{160}$$

The corresponding regularized curve in the three dimensional space (p_2, p_3, p_4) is the genus one curve which is the intersection of the cylindrical surfaces generated by the elliptic curve (60) and the surface defined by equation (160). Second regularization is provided by the transition from the curve (77) to the genus two trigonal curve (106) in the stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$.

Analogous mechanism of resolution of singularities take place for other algebraic curves in Sato Grassmannian. It has a particular simple form for the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$. In the big cell $\Sigma^{(2)}_{\varnothing}$ the blowing-up of singular hyperelliptic curves (135) is performed by the series of quadratic transformations in the same way as that described above for the general big cell $\Sigma_{\varnothing}$.

As far as the regularization with the change of genus is concerned we again consider general projections of the normal rational curves (135) on the two dimensional subspaces with coordinates (p_2, p_{2n+1}). For the twisted cubic it is given by equation (152) with $H^1_2 = 0$ and has the polynomial parameterization (153). Comparing the curve (152) with the elliptic curve (139) in $\Sigma^{(2)}_2$, we observe that the regularization of the curve (152) is achieved by the procedure of the instant growing-up of the full Laurent tail given by

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 \to & \tilde{z}^2 = {k_1}^2, \\ k_3 \to & p^{(1)}_3 = \tilde{z}^3 + (\beta - 3H^1_1)\tilde{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H^2_{2n+1}}{\tilde{z}^{2n+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{161}$$

where H^3_{2n+1} should obey constaints (145). So again $k_1(p_1)$ from $\Sigma^{(2)}_{\varnothing}$ becomes formal parameter in Laurent series in $\Sigma^{(2)}_1$. An inverse process of degeneration of the elliptic curve (139) consists in cutting-down the Laurent tail for p_2, (i.e. putting $H^3_{2n+1} = 0$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). In such a procedure higher order singular hyperelliptic curves (135) from $\Sigma^{(2)}_0$ become genus one singular hyperelliptic curves (141) from $\Sigma^{(2)}_2$.

In order to regularize the curves (135) for any n completely one applies the growing-up mechanism to $p^{(0)}_{2n+1}$ from $\Sigma^{(2)}_{\varnothing}$. Namely, by the substitution

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 \to & \tilde{z}, \\ p^{(0)}_{2n+1} \to & p_{2n+1} = \tilde{z}^{2n+1} + \sum_{k=-n+1}^{\infty} \frac{H^{2n+1}_{2l+1}}{\tilde{z}^{2l+1}} \end{aligned} \tag{162}$$

one transforms genus zero curve (135) into genus n hyperelliptic curve (148).

11 Tangent cohomology of varieties $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ and systems of integrable quasilinear PDEs

Subspaces $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ described in the previous sections are infinite-dimensional algebraic varieties defined by the set of polynomial equations for p_i, $i \in S_{1,2,\dots,n}$ and components H^i_j of the structure constants. In compact form all these equations are given by

$$p_j p_k - \sum_l C^l_{jk} p_l = 0, \tag{163}$$

$$\sum_l \left(C^l_{jk} C^p_{lm} - C^l_{mk} C^p_{lj} \right) = 0, \qquad j, k, m, p \in S_{1,2,\dots,n} \tag{164}$$

where, for given $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$, C^l_{jk} have the corresponding parameterization by H^j_k . One can treat p_i and H^i_j as the local affine coordinates in the infinite-dimensional space $\Gamma_{W_{1,2,\dots,n}}$.

A standard method to analyze local properties of the varieties defined by equations (163,164) is to deal with their tangent bundle T_W ([1]-[5]). Let us denote by π_i and Δ^l_{ik} the elements of T_W in a point. They are defined, as usual, by the system of linear equations

$$\pi_j p_k + p_j \pi_k - \sum_l \Delta^l_{jk} p_l - \sum_l C^l_{jk} \pi_l = 0, \tag{165}$$

$$\sum_l \left(\Delta^l_{jk} C^p_{lm} + C^l_{jk} \Delta^p_{lm} - \Delta^l_{mk} C^p_{lj} - C^l_{mk} \Delta^p_{lj} \right) = 0, \qquad j, k, m, p \in S_{1,2,\dots,n}. \tag{166}$$

In more general setting these equations define also a $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$-module E.

Equations (165) and (166) imply certain cohomological properties of the variety $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$. Indeed, if one introduces the bilinear map $\psi(\alpha,\beta)$ with $\alpha,\beta \in W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ defined by (see e.g. [2])

$$\psi(p_i,p_j) = \sum_l \Delta^l_{jk} p_l, \tag{167}$$

then equations (166) become

$$\alpha\psi(\beta,\gamma) - \psi(\alpha\beta,\gamma) + \psi(\alpha,\beta\gamma) - \gamma\psi(\alpha,\beta) = 0 \tag{168}$$

where $\alpha,\beta,\gamma \in W_{1,2,\dots,n}$. Bilinear maps of such type are called Hochschild $2-$cocycles [59]. So, the tangent bundle to the variety of the structure constants C^l_{jk} is isomorphic to the linear space of the $2-$cocycles on $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ (see e.g. [2]).

Equations (165) gives us an additional information about the $2-$cocycle $\psi(\alpha,\beta)$. Introducing a linear map $g(\alpha)$ defined by $g(p_i) = \pi_i$, one rewrites equation (165) as

$$\psi(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha g(\beta) + \beta g(\alpha) - g(\alpha\beta) \tag{169}$$

with $\alpha,\beta \in W_{1,2,\dots,n}$. Thus

$$\psi(\alpha,\beta) = \delta g(\alpha,\beta) \tag{170}$$

where δ is the Hochschild coboundary operation. Hence, $\psi(\alpha,\beta)$ is a $2-$coboundary and one has

Proposition 11.1 *The tangent bundle of the variety $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ is isomorphic to the linear space of $2-$coboundaries and Harrison's cohomology modules $H^2(W_{1,2,\dots,n},E)$ and $H^3(W_{1,2,\dots,n},E)$ vanish.*

This statement is essentially the reformulation for the subspaces $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ of the well-known results concerning the cohomology of commutative associative algebras (see e.g. [60]-[70]). In particular the existence of the $2-$cocycle and $H^2(W_{1,2,\dots,n},E) = 0$ is sufficient condition for the regularity of the point at which it is calculated (see e.g. [60]-[62]).

The above results are valid for general commutative associative algebra and corresponding W. For the Birkhoff strata the structure constants C^l_{jk} have a special structure being parameterized by H^j_k. Consequently Δ^k_{jk} are also parameterized by Δ_{jk}, i.e. by images of H^j_k in the map $W \to E$, and equations (164) becomes linear equations (166) for Δ_{jk}. Being the elements of E (in particular, the tangent space in a point) Δ_{ij} admit a natural Ansatz

$$\Delta_{jk} = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} \tag{171}$$

where u_k is a set of independent coordinates for the variety defined by the associativity condition (164) and x_j is a set of new independent parameters. Under the Ansatz (171) equations (166) take the form of quasilinear PDEs for u_i. Solutions of these system provide us with the particular $2-$cocycles and, hence, $2-$coboundaries associated with the subspaces $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$.

These systems of quasilinear PDEs are very special. Let us consider the subspace $W_\varnothing$ in the bigcell. Since in this case $C^l_{jk} = \delta^l_{j+k} + H^k_{j-l} + H^j_{k-l}$ one has $\Delta^l_{kj} = \Delta_{k,j-l} + \Delta_{j,k-l}$ and the system (166) is

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{j+k,m} &+ \left(-\Delta_{j,m+k} + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} H^k_{j-l}\Delta_{lm} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} H^j_{k-l}\Delta_{lm} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} H^k_{m-l}\Delta_{jl} \right) \\ &+ \left(-\Delta_{k,m+j} + \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \Delta^k_{j-l}H_{lm} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \Delta^j_{k-l}H_{lm} - \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \Delta^k_{m-l}H_{jl} \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{172}$$

This system implies

$$k\Delta_{ik} - i\Delta_{ki} = 0. \tag{173}$$

Let us choose H^1_k as the variables u_k.

Proposition 11.2 *Under the Ansatz*

$$\Delta_{ik} = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}, \qquad i,k = 1,2,3,\dots\ . \tag{174}$$

the system (172) coincides with the dKP hierarchy.

Proof For $j=1, k=2, m=1$ the system (172) is

$$\Delta_{31} - \Delta_{13} - \Delta_{22} + 2H^1_1\Delta_{11} = 0 \tag{175}$$

while the relations (173) at $i=1, k=2$ and $i=1, k=3$ are

$$2\Delta_{12} - \Delta_{21} = 0, \qquad 3\Delta_{13} - \Delta_{31} = 0. \tag{176}$$

The ansatz (174) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{x_3}u_1-\frac{3}{2}\partial_{x_2}u_2+3u_1\partial_{x_1}u_1=0,\\&2\partial_{x_1}u_2-\partial_{x_2}u_1=0.\end{aligned}\tag{177}$$

It is the celebrated dKP (Khokhlov-Zaboloskaya) equations (see e.g. [71]-[76],[51]). The higher equations (172), (173) give rise to the higher dKP equations under the ansatz (174). □

As an immediate consequence of the Proposition 11.2 one has

Proposition 11.3 *Solutions of the dKP hierarchy provide us with the class of* $2-$*cocycles and* $2-$*coboundaries defined by*

$$\psi(p_j,p_k)=\sum_l\left(\frac{\partial u_{j-l}}{\partial x_k}+\frac{\partial u_{k-l}}{\partial x_j}\right)p_l\tag{178}$$

for the subspace $W_\varnothing$ *in the big cell.*

We will refer to such $2-$coboundaries as dKP $2-$coboundaries. These dKP $2-$coboundaries describe local properties of the family of normal rational curves discussed in section 3.

In terms of the dKP tau-function F defined by (see e.g. [75, 51])

$$u_k=H_k^1=-\frac{1}{k}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1\partial x_k}\tag{179}$$

the whole dKP hierarchy is represented by the celebrated dispersionless Hirota-Miwa equations (see e.g. [75],[51])

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{m}F_{i+k,m}+\frac{1}{m+k}F_{i,k+m}+\frac{1}{i+m}F_{k,i+m}+\sum_{l=1}^{i-1}\frac{1}{m(i-l)}F_{k,i-l}F_{l,m}\\&+\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{1}{m(k-l)}F_{i,k-l}F_{l,m}-\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\frac{1}{i(m-l)}F_{k,m-l}F_{i,l}=0\end{aligned}\tag{180}$$

where $F_{i,k}$ stands for the second-order derivative of F with respect to x_i and x_k. So any solution F of the system (180) provides us with the dKP $2-$cocycles (and $2-$coboundaries) given by

$$\psi(p_j,p_k)=-\sum_{l=1}\left(\frac{1}{j-l}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k\partial x_{j-l}}+\frac{1}{k-l}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_{k-l}}\right)\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}p_l.\tag{181}$$

This formula shows that the choice (171) corresponds to a simple realization of the map $W_\varnothing\to E$, namely, $F\to\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}$ or $H_k^j\to\frac{\partial H_k^j}{\partial x_1}$.

It is evident that all above expressions are well defined only for bounded $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k}$. When $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k}\to\infty$ the formulas presented above break down and $H^2(W,E)\neq 0$.

For the dKP hierarchy the points where $\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k}\to\infty$ are the, so-called, breaking points (or points of gradient catastrophe). Such points form the singular sector of the space of solutions of the dKP hierarchy. In this sector the space of variables $x_1,x_2,\dots$ is stratified and such stratification is closely connected with the Birkhoff stratification. For Burgers-Hopf hierarchy ($2-$reduction of the dKP hierarchy) and the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}^{(2)}$ such situation has been analyzed in [45].

We are confident that similar results hold for other strata too.

12 Families of curves, Poisson ideals and coisotropic deformations

Families of curves, algebraic varieties and families of their ideals considered above can be viewed also as imbedded in larger spaces with certain specific properties, for instance, as the coisotropic submanifolds of Poisson manifolds and Poisson ideals, respectively. Recall that a submanifold in the Poisson manifold equipped with the Poisson bracket $\{\ ,\ \}$ is a coisotropic submanifold if its ideal $\mathcal{I}$ is the Poisson ideal (see e.g [77]), i.e.

$$\{\mathcal{I},\mathcal{I}\}\subset\mathcal{I}.\tag{182}$$

Relevance of Poisson ideals in the study of (quantum) cohomology of manifolds was observed in the paper [78]. Theory of coisotropic deformations of commutative associative algebras based on the property (182) has been proposed in [51]. An extension of this theory to general algebraic varieties was given in [79].

Thus let us consider an infinite-dimensional Poisson manifold P with local coordinates $q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots$ endowed with the Poisson bracket defined by the relations

$$\{q_i, q_k\} = 0, \quad \{y_i, y_k\} = 0, \quad \{y_i, q_k\} = J_{ki}, \qquad i, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{183}$$

where J_{ki} are certain functions of p and y. This choice of the Poisson structure is suggested by the roles that the variables p_i and y_k play in our construction. Jacobi identities for the Poisson structures (183) are given by the system

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_s J_{ls} \partial_{y_s} J_{kj} - \sum_s J_{ks} \partial_{y_s} J_{lj} = 0, \\ \sum_s J_{sj} \partial_{q_s} J_{lk} - \sum_s J_{sk} \partial_{q_s} J_{lj} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{184}$$

Then, we consider ideals $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty)$ of the families of algebraic varieties in $W_{1,2,\dots,n}$ discussed in sections 3-9 as ideals in P and require that they are Poisson ideals, i.e.

$$\{\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty), \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty)\} \subset \mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty). \tag{185}$$

The property (185) means, in particular, that the Hamiltonian vector fields generated by each member of $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty)$ are tangent to the coisotropic submanifold with the ideal $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty)$.

The crucial question now is whether a Poisson structure exists such ideals $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma_\infty)$ obey (185). Let us begin with the big cell. For the subspace $W_\varnothing$ the answer is given by

Proposition 12.1 *The family of ideals $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ of the family of normal rational curves in the big cell represents the Poisson ideal in the Poisson manifold endowed with the Poisson brackets (183) with J_{ik} obeying the constraints*

$$(J_{i\ k-1} - J_{k\ i-1})|_{\Gamma_\infty} = 0 \qquad i, k - 2, 3, 4, \dots\ . \tag{186}$$

Proof To prove (185) it is sufficient to show that for the elements h_n of the basis of $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ one has $\{h_n, h_m\} \subset I(\Gamma_\infty)$. The local coordinates $p^*_n = P_n(-p_1, -\frac{1}{2}p_2, -\frac{1}{3}p_3, \dots)$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and $u_n = H^1_n$ and canonical basis $h^*_2, h^*_3, h^*_4, \dots$, given by (23), i.e. $h^*_n = p^*_n - u_{n-1}$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ are the most convenient for this purpose. In these coodinates one has the identity

$$\{h^*_n, h^*_m\} = J^*_{n\ m-1} - J^*_{m\ n-1}, \qquad n, m = 2, 3, 4, \dots \tag{187}$$

where J^*_{nm} denotes the Poisson tensor in these coordinates. So the conditions $\{h_n, h_m\} \subset I(\Gamma_\infty)$ is satisfied if and only if the conditions (186) are valid. □

On Γ_∞ one has $p^*_n = u_{n-1}$, $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and, hence,

$$J^*_{ik}|_{\Gamma_\infty} = \alpha_{ik}(u) + \beta_{ik}(u) p^*_1, \qquad i, k = 1, 2, 3 \dots \tag{188}$$

where α_{ik} and β_{ik} are functions of u_k only. Since $p^*_1 \notin I(\Gamma_\infty)$ the conditions (186) are equivalent to

$$\alpha_{i\ k-1} = \alpha_{k\ i-1}, \quad \beta_{i\ k-1} = \beta_{k\ i-1}, \qquad i, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\ . \tag{189}$$

The property (188) indicates that Poisson tensors J^*_{ik} linear in the variables p^*_k could be of particular relevance. Thus let us consider the following class of tensors J^*_{ik}

$$J^*_{lk} = -\sum_m \frac{1}{m} J_{mk}(u) p^*_{l-m} \tag{190}$$

where $J_{mk}(u)$ depend only on the variables $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots$. The conditions (186) or (188) are equivalent to the following

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{m} J_{mn} - \frac{1}{n} J_{nm} = 0, \\ &\frac{1}{m} J_{m\ n-1} - \frac{1}{n} J_{n\ m-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \frac{1}{k} u_{m-k-1} J_{k\ n-1} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{k} u_{n-k-1} J_{k\ m-1} = 0, \quad n, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\ . \end{aligned} \tag{191}$$

Using the well-known property of Schur's polynomials, i.e. $\partial_{p_k} P_n(p) = P_{n-k}(p)$ which implies that $\partial_{p_i} h = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} p^*_{l-i} \partial_{p^*_l} h$, one easily concludes that the Poisson structure (183) with J^*_{lk} of the form (190) in the coordinates $p_1, p_2, \dots; u_1, u_2, \dots$ has the form

$$\{p_i, p_k\} = 0, \quad \{u_i, u_k\} = 0, \quad \{u_i, p_k\} = J_{ki}(u), \qquad i, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\ . \tag{192}$$

Observation 12.2 *The system (191) is equivalent to the system (172) modulo the associativity conditions (13) and $J_{nm} = \Delta_{nm}$. So there is a strong interrelation between cohomological and Poisson structures associated with the subspace $W_\varnothing$ in the big cell.*

This fact has been checked by computer calculations up to $n, m = 11$. We do not have formal proof of this statement.

Note that due to the properties of the Schur polynomials the Poisson tensor (192) is of the form

$$J^*_{ik} = \sum_m J_{mk}(u) \frac{\partial p^*_i}{\partial p_m}. \tag{193}$$

A subclass of the Poisson tensors (193) for which $J_{mk}(u) = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_m}$, i.e.

$$J^*_{ik} = \sum_m \frac{\partial p^*_i}{\partial p_m} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_m}, \qquad i, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{194}$$

where $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ are new coordinates on $\mathcal{M}$, is of particular interest. First in the coordinate x_i, p_i the Poisson structures (183), 192 take the form

$$\{p_i, p_k\} = 0, \quad \{x_i, x_k\} = 0, \quad \{x_i, p_k\} = \delta_{ki}, \qquad i, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots\ . \tag{195}$$

i.e., the coordinates p_i, x_i, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are the Darboux coordinates in $\mathcal{M}$. Second, the Jacobi conditions (184) are identically satisfied for the Ansatz $J_{ik}(u) = \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_i}$ while the algebraic constraints (191) become the system of quasilinear equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{m}\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_m} - \frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_n} = 0, \\ &\frac{1}{m}\frac{\partial u_{n-1}}{\partial x_m} - \frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial u_{n-1}}{\partial x_m} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \frac{1}{k} u_{m-k-1} \frac{\partial u_{n-1}}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{k} u_{n-k-1} \frac{\partial u_{m-1}}{\partial x_k} = 0, \quad n, m = 1, 2, 3, \dots\ . \end{aligned} \tag{196}$$

This system of equations coincides with that derived in [52] in a different manner. It was shown in [52] that the system (196) is equivalent to the dKP hierarchy. This fact provide us with an alternative proof of the Proposition 11.2.

Thus we have

Observation 12.3 *In the Darboux coordinates the system of equations (192), (191) characterizing the Poisson structure for the family of ideals $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ is equivalent to the dKP hierarchy with $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$ and $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots$ playing the role of independent and dependent variables, respectively.*

The sets of variables (p^*_k, u_k) and (p^*_k, x_k) play the dual roles in the description of the families of ideals $I(\Gamma_\infty)$. The former are canonical from the algebraic viewpoint while the latter are canonical within the interpretation of the family of ideals $I(\Gamma_\infty)$ as Poisson ideal. In virtue of the formulas (191),(194) the connection between these two sets of variables is provided by solutions of the dKP hierarchy.

This observation points out the deep interrelation between the theory of Poisson ideals for the families of algebraic curves in Sato Grassmannian and theory of integrable hierarchies and the role of Darboux coordinates in such interconnection.

The Darboux coordinates has been used in [51] within the study of coisotropic deformations of the relations (163,164) viewed as equations defining structure constants of associative algebras. It was shown in [51] that for infinite-dimensional polynomial algebra in the Faà di Bruno basis for which structure constants C^l_{jk} are given by (14) the coisotropy condition (185) is equivalent to the associativity conditions (13) plus the exactness conditions

$$\frac{\partial H^i_n}{\partial x_l} = \frac{\partial H^l_n}{\partial x_i}, \qquad i, l, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\ . \tag{197}$$

These conditions together with the algebraic relations $nH^i_n = iH^n_i$ imply the existence of a function F such that [51]

$$H^i_m = -\frac{1}{m}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_m}. \tag{198}$$

With such a form of H^i_k the associativity conditions (13) are equivalent to the celebrated Hirota-Miwa bilinear equations (180).

This result indicates one more time the importance of the Darboux coordinates in the whole our approach. The detailed analysis of the Poisson structures for ideals of the families of algebraic curves in Birkhoff strata and their connection with the hierarchy of integrable equations will be given in the forthcoming paper.

Here we will present only few illustrative examples . In the stratum Σ_1 one has the ideal

$$I(\Gamma^1_\infty) = \langle \mathcal{F}^1_{23}, h^{(1)}_4, h^{(1)}_5, \dots \rangle \tag{199}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^1_{23}$ and $h^{(1)}_n$ are given by (60) and (70). The requirement that the family of ideals (199) is a Poisson ideal gives rise to an infinite hierarchy of systems of PDEs. The simplest of them which is equivalent to the condition $\{\mathcal{F}^1_{23}, h^{(1)}_4\}\Big|_{\Gamma^1_\infty} = 0$ with the canonical Poisson bracket and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^1_{23} =& {p_3}^2 - {p_2}^3 - \mu_4 p_2 p_3 - \mu_3 {p_2}^2 - \mu_2 p_3 - \mu_2 p_2 - \mu_0, \\ h^{(1)}_4 =& p_4 - {p_2}^2 - v_2 p_3 - v_1 p_2 - v_0 \end{aligned} \tag{200}$$

is given by (see also [79])

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_4} =& -\frac{2}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(\mu_2\mu_3) - \frac{5}{9}{\mu_4}^2\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2} + \frac{4}{9}\mu_4\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3} + 2\frac{\partial\mu_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{4}{3}\frac{\partial\mu_3}{\partial x_3} + \frac{4}{9}\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3} + \frac{8}{9}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}}^{-1}\frac{\partial^2\mu_4}{\partial {x_3}^2},\\
\frac{\partial\mu_3}{\partial x_4} =& -\frac{2}{3}\mu_4\mu_3\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2} + v_1\frac{\partial\mu_3}{\partial x_2} + 2\,\frac{\partial\mu_1}{\partial x_2} - 3\,\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_2} - 2\,\mu_3\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_4\frac{\partial\mu_3}{\partial x_3} - \mu_4\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_3} + \frac{4}{3}\,\mu_3\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3},\\
\frac{\partial\mu_2}{\partial x_4} =& -\frac{2}{3}\mu_4\mu_2\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2} + 2\,\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_3} + v_1\frac{\partial\mu_2}{\partial x_2} - \mu_4\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_2} - \frac{2}{3}\mu_1\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_4\frac{\partial\mu_2}{\partial x_3} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_2\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3},\\
\frac{\partial\mu_1}{\partial x_4} =& -\frac{2}{3}\mu_4\mu_1\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2} + 2\,\frac{\partial\mu_0}{\partial x_2} + v_1\frac{\partial\mu_1}{\partial x_2} - 2\,\mu_3\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_2} - \mu_1\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_4\frac{\partial\mu_1}{\partial x_3} - \mu_4\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_3} - \mu_2\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x_3} + \frac{4}{3}\,\mu_1\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3},\\
\frac{\partial\mu_0}{\partial x_4} =& v_1\frac{\partial\mu_0}{\partial x_2} - \mu_1\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_2} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_4\frac{\partial\mu_0}{\partial x_3} - \mu_2\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x_3} - \frac{2}{3}\mu_4\mu_0\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_2} + \frac{4}{3}\,\mu_0\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{201}$$

where $v_1 = \frac{2}{3}\mu_3 - \frac{2}{9}{\mu_4}^2 + \frac{4}{3}{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}}^{-1}\frac{\partial\mu_4}{\partial x_3}$ and v_0 is associated with a gauge freedom of the system.

For the stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$ the coisotropy condition (185) is given by pretty large system of equations. For example the condition

$$\{\mathcal{C}_8, \mathcal{C}_9\}\Big|_{\Gamma^2_\infty} = 0 \tag{202}$$

with the Poisson bracket in the Darboux coordinates $(p_3, p_4, p_5, \dots, x_3, x_4, x_5, \dots)$ and cyclic variable x_3, is equivalent to the system

$$\partial_{x_4} H^5_i = \partial_{x_5} H^4_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, 4, 5 \tag{203}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H^4_4 =& 3\,U_{x_4}U_{x_5}V_{x_4} - 2\,U_{x_4}{V_{x_4}}^2 - H^4_2U_{x_4} - 2\,{U_{x_4}}^2V_{x_5} - U_{x_4}{U_{x_5}}^2 + {U_{x_4}}^4 - H^4_1U_{x_5} + {V_{x_5}}^2 + V_{x_4}H^4_1,\\
H^4_5 =& 2\,H^4_2V_{x_4} - 6\,U_{x_5}{V_{x_4}}^2 + 5\,V_{x_4}{U_{x_5}}^2 - \frac{5}{3}\,V_{x_4}{U_{x_4}}^3 - 2\,U_{x_5}H^4_2 + \frac{4}{3}\,U_{x_5}{U_{x_4}}^3 - H^4_1V_{x_5}\\
& + 3\,V_{x_4}U_{x_4}V_{x_5} - 2\,U_{x_5}U_{x_4}V_{x_5} + \frac{7}{3}\,{V_{x_4}}^3 - \frac{4}{3}\,{U_{x_5}}^3,\\
H^5_1 =& {V_{x_4}}^2 + 2\,H^4_2 + U_{x_4}V_{x_5} - 2\,U_{x_5}V_{x_4} + {U_{x_5}}^2 - {U_{x_4}}^3,\\
H^5_2 =& 2\,H^4_1U_{x_4} - V_{x_5}V_{x_4} + V_{x_5}U_{x_5} - V_{x_4}{U_{x_4}}^2,\\
H^5_4 =& 2\,H^4_2V_{x_4} - 7\,U_{x_5}{V_{x_4}}^2 + 6\,V_{x_4}{U_{x_5}}^2 - \frac{4}{3}\,V_{x_4}{U_{x_4}}^3 - 2\,U_{x_5}H^4_2 + \frac{5}{3}\,U_{x_5}{U_{x_4}}^3 - H^4_1V_{x_5}\\
& + 4\,V_{x_4}U_{x_4}V_{x_5} - 3\,U_{x_5}U_{x_4}V_{x_5} + \frac{8}{3}\,{V_{x_4}}^3 - \frac{5}{3}\,{U_{x_5}}^3 - H^4_1{U_{x_4}}^2,\\
H^5_5 =& 5\,U_{x_5}V_{x_4}{U_{x_4}}^2 - 2\,{V_{x_4}}^2{U_{x_4}}^2 - 3\,H^4_2{U_{x_4}}^2 - 4\,{U_{x_4}}^3V_{x_5} - 2\,{U_{x_4}}^2{U_{x_5}}^2 + 2\,{U_{x_4}}^5\\
& + 2\,U_{x_4}{V_{x_5}}^2 - 2\,V_{x_4}H^4_1U_{x_4} + {H^4_1}^2 + 2\,V_{x_5}{V_{x_4}}^2 - 3\,V_{x_4}V_{x_5}U_{x_5} + V_{x_5}{U_{x_5}}^2 + H^4_2V_{x_5}
\end{aligned} \tag{204}$$

and $\partial_{x_4}H^5_{-2} = \partial_{x_5}H^4_{-2} := \partial_{x_4}\partial_{x_5}U$ and $\partial_{x_4}H^5_{-1} = \partial_{x_5}H^4_{-1} := \partial_{x_4}\partial_{x_5}V$.

Finally, the requirement that the family of ideals $I^2(\Gamma^2_\infty)$ for hyperelliptic curves described in Proposition 9.7 is the Poisson ideal with respect to the canonical Poisson bracket gives rise to the infinite hierarchy of hydrodynamical type systems which is equivalent to that found in the paper [45].

13 Conclusion

The approach to algebraic curves and associated integrable systems *via* an analysis of the algebro-geometric structure of the Birkhoff strata of the Sato Grassmannian seems to be rather natural. Properties of the Birkhoff strata Σ_s essentially fix the properties of algebraic curves in each point of the corresponding subsets W_s and associated integrable systems.

Our approach is apparently different from those discussed before, in particular, from the methods of Krichever [33, 34, 42], Segal-Wilson [19], Mulase [24, 35, 36] and Takasaki [18]. We shall try to clarify the possible principal differences (if so) or eventual interrelation between our method and those mentioned above in a future publication.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Marco Pedroni and Andrea Previtali for many useful discussions. This work has been partially supported by PRIN grant no 28002K9KXZ and by FAR 2009 (*Sistemi dinamici Integrabili e Interazioni fra campi e particelle*) of the University of Milano Bicocca .

A Appendix. Curves in Birkhoff strata

Birkhoff stratum	**associated algebra**	**plane curve**	**genus**
$\Sigma_\varnothing$	$\mathbb{C}[p]$	Parabola	0
Σ_1	$\mathbb{C}[p_2,p_3]/\mathcal{F}^1_{23}$	Elliptic	1
$\Sigma_{1,2}$	$\mathbb{C}[p_3,p_4,p_5]/\mathcal{C}_8,\mathcal{C}_9,\mathcal{C}_{10}$	Trigonal	2
$\Sigma_{1,2,\dots,n}$	$\dfrac{\mathbb{C}[p_{n+1},p_{n+2},\dots,p_{2n+1}]}{\{C_i\}_{i=2n+4,\dots,3n+3}}$	$(n+1,n+2)$ curve	n ?

B Appendix. Big cell $\Sigma_\varnothing$

The coefficients in the formula (32) are

$$\begin{aligned}
a_9 =& -4\,{p_1}^3+12\,H^1_1p_1+12\,H^1_2\ ,\\
a_8 =& 3\,{p_1}^4-12\,H^1_1{p_1}^2-12\,H^1_2p_1+6\,{H^1_1}^2\ ,\\
a_7 =& 12\,H^1_1H^1_2\ ,\\
a_6 =& -6\,{p_1}^6+36\,H^1_1{p_1}^4+36\,H^1_2{p_1}^3-54\,{H^1_1}^2{p_1}^2-108\,H^1_1p_1H^1_2-48\,{H^1_2}^2+4\,{H^1_1}^3\ ,\\
a_4 =& 9\,{H^1_1}^4+3\,{p_1}^8-48\,{H^1_2}^2H^1_1-24\,H^1_1{p_1}^6-24\,{p_1}^5H^1_2+60\,{p_1}^4{H^1_1}^2-48\,{H^1_1}^3{p_1}^2+48\,{H^1_2}^2{p_1}^2\\
&+108\,H^1_1{p_1}^3H^1_2-84\,H^1_2p_1{H^1_1}^2\ ,\\
a_3 =& 64\,{H^1_2}^3-4\,{p_1}^9-12\,{H^1_1}^3H^1_2-204\,{p_1}^4H^1_1H^1_2+276\,{H^1_1}^2{p_1}^2H^1_2+240\,H^1_1p_1{H^1_2}^2+36\,{p_1}^6H^1_2\\
&-108\,{p_1}^5{H^1_1}^2-96\,{p_1}^3{H^1_2}^2+116\,{H^1_1}^3{p_1}^3+36\,{p_1}^7H^1_1-24\,{H^1_1}^4p_1\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{205}$$

The coefficients in the formula (34) are

$$\begin{aligned}
c_5 =& 20\,H^1_2{H^1_3}^3-200\,{H^1_2}^2H^1_3H^1_4-200\,H^1_4H^1_1{H^1_3}^2-20\,H^1_2{H^1_1}^6-40\,H^1_4{H^1_1}^5+16\,{H^1_2}^5\\
&+140\,H^1_2{H^1_1}^2{H^1_3}^2-300\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_1}^2H^1_4+100\,H^1_2{H^1_1}^4H^1_3+120\,{H^1_2}^3H^1_3H^1_1+500\,{H^1_4}^3\ ,\\
c_4 =& -100\,{H^1_1}^2{H^1_3}^3+50\,{H^1_1}^4{H^1_3}^2-300\,H^1_2{H^1_1}^2H^1_4H^1_3+500\,{H^1_4}^2H^1_1H^1_3-200\,H^1_4{H^1_3}^2H^1_2\\
&-50\,{H^1_2}^4{H^1_1}^2+15\,{H^1_3}^4+250\,{H^1_4}^2{H^1_2}^2-5\,{H^1_1}^8+20\,{H^1_2}^4H^1_3+20\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_1}^5+200\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_1}^3H^1_3\\
&-100\,H^1_2{H^1_1}^4H^1_4+120\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_3}^2H^1_1-200\,H^1_4{H^1_2}^3H^1_1-20\,H^1_3{H^1_1}^6\ ,\\
c_0 =& -360\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_3}^2{H^1_1}^3+60\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_1}^5H^1_3-100\,{H^1_2}^2{H^1_3}^3H^1_1-500\,{H^1_4}^2{H^1_2}^2H^1_3-750\,{H^1_4}^2{H^1_2}^2{H^1_1}^2\\
&-500\,{H^1_4}^2H^1_1{H^1_3}^2-60\,{H^1_2}^4H^1_3{H^1_1}^2+700\,{H^1_1}^2{H^1_3}^2H^1_2H^1_4-100\,{H^1_1}^6H^1_2H^1_4-4\,{H^1_3}^5+2\,{H^1_1}^{10}\\
&+625\,{H^1_4}^4+100\,H^1_2{H^1_3}^3H^1_4+600\,{H^1_2}^3H^1_3H^1_4H^1_1+500\,H^1_2{H^1_1}^4H^1_4H^1_3+80\,H^1_4{H^1_2}^5-80\,{H^1_2}^6H^1_1\\
&+20\,{H^1_1}^6{H^1_3}^2+50\,{H^1_1}^2{H^1_3}^4-160\,{H^1_1}^4{H^1_3}^3+105\,{H^1_2}^4{H^1_1}^4+20\,{H^1_1}^8H^1_3-40\,{H^1_1}^7{H^1_2}^2-100\,{H^1_1}^5{H^1_4}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{206}$$

C Appendix. Stratum Σ_1

The first following cases in (64) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{10} =& {p_2}^5 - {p_3}^2{p_2}^2 + \cdots = -{p_2}^2\mathcal{F}^1_{23} ,\\
\mathcal{C}_{11} =& {p_2}^4 p_3 - {p_3}^3 p_2 + \cdots = \Big(-p_3p_2 + 3\,H^2_{-1}{p_2}^2 + p_2H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1} + 3\,p_2H^2_1 + p_2{H^2_{-1}}^3\Big)\mathcal{F}^1_{23} ,\\
\mathcal{C}_{12} =& {p_3}^4 - {p_2}^6 + \cdots = \Big(\mathcal{F}^1_{23} + 2p_2^3 - 6\,H^2_{-1}p_2p_3 + (4\,H^3_{-1} + 9\,{H^2_{-1}}^2)p_2^2\\
&+(-6\,H^2_1 - 2\,H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1} - 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^3)p_3 + (12\,H^2_1H^2_{-1} + 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 6\,{H^2_{-1}}^4 + 4\,H^3_1 - 6\,H^2_2\\
&+8\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_{-1})p_2 + 7\,H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1}H^2_1 + 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_1 + {H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 3\,H^2_3H^2_{-1} - 6\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2\\
&+4\,H^3_1H^3_{-1} - 8\,H^3_{-1}H^2_2 + 6\,H^2_1{H^2_{-1}}^3 + 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_{-1} - 6\,H^2_4 + 4\,H^3_3 + 3\,{H^2_1}^2 + {H^2_{-1}}^6\Big)\mathcal{F}^1_{23} ,\\
&\dots .
\end{aligned} \tag{207}$$

The discriminant of the elliptic curve (58) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta =\\
&- 396\,{H^2_1}^2{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 243\,{H^2_1}^4 + 360\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^3_1H^2_1H^3_{-1} + 1152\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^2_2H^2_{-1}H^2_1 + 432\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1H^3_{-1}\\
&- 32\,{H^3_{-1}}^3H^3_1{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 972\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_3H^2_2 + 96\,H^2_{-1}H^2_3{H^3_{-1}}^3 + 216\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_3{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 432\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_1H^2_2\\
&- 72\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_1{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 432\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_2}^2{H^2_{-1}}^2 - 144\,{H^3_{-1}}^3H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2 - 1152\,H^3_3H^3_{-1}H^3_1 - 1944\,H^2_4H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2\\
&- 864\,{H^2_1}^2{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_1 - 2592\,H^3_3H^2_{-1}H^2_3 - \frac{27}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^8H^3_1 - 81\,{H^2_{-1}}^6H^2_4 + \frac{81}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^7H^2_3 + 54\,{H^2_{-1}}^6H^3_3\\
&- 1728\,{H^3_3}^2 + 5184\,H^3_3H^2_4 + 1296\,H^3_3{H^2_1}^2 + 128\,H^3_3{H^3_{-1}}^3 - 3888\,{H^2_4}^2 - 1944\,H^2_4{H^2_1}^2 - 192\,H^2_4{H^3_{-1}}^3\\
&- 48\,{H^2_1}^2{H^3_{-1}}^3 + 64\,{H^3_{-1}}^2{H^3_1}^2 - 972\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^2_3}^2 + 144\,{H^2_{-1}}^4{H^3_1}^2 - 1152\,{H^3_{-1}}^2{H^2_2}^2 - 64\,{H^3_{-1}}^4H^2_2\\
&+ 81\,{H^2_2}^2{H^2_{-1}}^4 + 810\,{H^2_1}^2H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 1728\,H^2_4H^3_{-1}H^3_1 + 648\,{H^2_1}^3H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1} + 972\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1H^2_4\\
&- 324\,H^3_{-1}H^2_4{H^2_{-1}}^4 + 216\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_3 - 54\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_{-1}}^6H^3_1 + 162\,H^3_{-1}H^2_3{H^2_{-1}}^5 - 27\,{H^2_{-1}}^6H^2_2H^3_{-1}\\
&+ 216\,{H^2_{-1}}^5H^3_1H^2_1 + 384\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^3_1H^2_2 + 432\,{H^2_1}^2H^3_{-1}H^3_1 + 972\,{H^2_1}^2H^2_{-1}H^2_3 - 81\,{H^2_{-1}}^5H^2_2H^2_1\\
&+ \frac{27}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^7H^3_{-1}H^2_1 - 486\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^2_1H^2_3 - 648\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1H^3_3 - 1728\,H^3_3H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_{-1} + 2592\,H^2_4H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_{-1}\\
&+ 3456\,H^2_2H^3_1H^2_{-1}H^2_1 + 384\,H^3_{-1}{H^3_1}^2{H^2_{-1}}^2 - 512\,{H^3_1}^3 - 864\,H^3_{-1}H^3_1H^2_{-1}H^2_3 - 720\,H^3_{-1}H^3_1H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2\\
&- 192\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^3_1H^2_{-1}H^2_1 + 2592\,H^2_{-1}H^2_3H^3_{-1}H^2_2 - 1296\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^2_3H^2_1H^3_{-1} + 1728\,{H^2_2}^3 + 54\,{H^2_{-1}}^5{H^3_{-1}}^2H^2_1\\
&- 189\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_{-1}{H^2_1}^2 - 1296\,{H^2_1}^2H^3_{-1}H^2_2 - 3456\,{H^2_2}^2H^3_1 + 2304\,H^2_2{H^3_1}^2 + 27\,{H^2_{-1}}^3{H^2_1}^3\\
&- 432\,H^2_4{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 108\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^4{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 32\,{H^3_{-1}}^4H^2_{-1}H^2_1 + 72\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1{H^3_{-1}}^3 + 3456\,H^3_3H^3_{-1}H^2_2\\
&+ 1296\,H^3_3H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 3888\,H^2_4H^2_{-1}H^2_3 - 5184\,H^2_4H^3_{-1}H^2_2 - 2592\,{H^2_2}^2H^2_{-1}H^2_1 - 1152\,{H^3_1}^2H^2_{-1}H^2_1\\
&+ 288\,H^3_3{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{208}$$

The coefficients in equation (75) are

$$\begin{aligned}
D_4 =& 7\,{H^2_1}^2 - 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^6 + 3\,H^2_4 - 2\,H^3_3 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_{-1} + 10\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1 - 2\,H^3_{-1}H^2_2 - 7\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_1\\
&+ 11\,H^2_{-1}H^2_3 + 5\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 2\,H^3_{-1}H^3_1 + H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_{-1} ,\\
D_2 =& \frac{25}{2}\,H^2_1H^2_3 + 4\,H^3_3H^3_{-1} - 5\,H^2_2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_1}^2 - 6\,H^3_{-1}H^2_4 - \frac{23}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_1 + \frac{13}{2}\,H^2_3{H^2_{-1}}^3\\
&- 8\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_3 + 12\,H^2_4{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 14\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^2_2 + 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^3_1 + 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^4{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^3\\
&- 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^5H^2_1 + 8\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^2_1}^2 - 3\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_{-1}}^6 + 3\,H^2_{-1}H^2_3H^3_{-1} + \frac{5}{2}\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_1 - 2\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_{-1}\\
&- 10\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^2_2 + 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_{-1}H^3_1 + \frac{7}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^3_{-1}H^2_1 - 2\,{H^2_{-1}}^8 - {H^3_{-1}}^4 ,
\end{aligned} \tag{209}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 = & 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1} - {H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{2}_{3} - 11\,H^{2}_{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2} - 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} + 5\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{3}\\
&- \frac{3}{2}\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{3}_{1} + 8\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{3} - 2\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{1} + \frac{11}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1} + 2\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{-1}\\
&- 4\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{2} + \frac{25}{4}\,{H^{2}_{3}}^{2} - {H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} + {H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{1} + \frac{3}{4}\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2}\\
&+ 8\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{2}_{2} + \frac{17}{2}\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{3}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3} - 2\,H^{3}_{3}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2} - 5\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{3}_{1} - 8\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}{H^{2}}_{3} + 12\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{4}\\
&+ 4\,H^{2}_{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3} + \frac{5}{2}\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{3}H^{3}_{1} + 3\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{4} - 2\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1} + 4\,{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4} - 4\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{7}H^{2}_{1}\\
&+ 4\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2} - 12\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{4}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2} - 10\,H^{2}_{3}H^{2}_{2}H^{2}_{-1} - 2\,H^{2}_{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1} - 4\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{3}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}\;. \end{aligned}$$

The longer coefficients in the formula (77) are

$$\begin{aligned}
A_4 = \Big(&-\frac{27}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} + 12\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{-1} - 4\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{-1}H^{3}_{1} - 16\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1} + 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{2} - 3\,H^{2}_{1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}\\
&+6\,{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2} - 4\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1} + 9\,H^{2}_{4}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2} - 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{3} + {H^{3}_{-1}}^{4} - 6\,H^{2}_{3}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{-1} + 4\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4} + 4\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3}\\
&-\frac{5}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{3}_{1} - \frac{9}{2}\,H^{2}_{3}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3} + 12\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} + 12\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{4} + 2\,H^{2}_{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2} + 4\,H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{1} + 4\,H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{1} - 3\,{H^{2}_{2}}^{2}\\
&-8\,H^{3}_{3}H^{3}_{-1} + 4\,{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} + H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6} - 6\,H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{2}\Big)\;,\\[1ex]
A_3 = \Big(&18\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} + {H^{2}_{-1}}^{9} - 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{2}_{1} + 12\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2} + 9\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3} + 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{7}H^{3}_{-1} + 2\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{-1}\\
&-6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{2}_{2} + 8\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} + 6\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2} + \frac{9}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{3}_{1} - \frac{3}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{3} - 2\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{3} + 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{4} + 12\,H^{3}_{3}H^{2}_{1}\\
&-18\,{H^{2}_{1}}^{3} + 18\,H^{2}_{4}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{-1} - 16\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{1} + 9\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{3} - \frac{57}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} - 15\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{-1} - {H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{1}\\
&+3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{2}H^{2}_{1} + 45\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} - 4\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{1} + 12\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{1} - 18\,H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{4} - 32\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1}\\
&-8\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2} + 7\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1} - 9\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{3}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2} - 12\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{3}\Big)\;,\\[1ex]
A_0 = \Big(&-10\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} - 12\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2}H^{3}_{-1} + \frac{9}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{2}H^{2}_{3} + 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{3} + 6\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2}H^{2}_{1} - 9\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{2}H^{2}_{4}\\
&-10\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}H^{3}_{3} + 8\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1} + 15\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{4} - \frac{85}{4}\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2} + 12\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1} - \frac{15}{2}\,H^{2}_{3}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1}\\
&+9\,H^{2}_{3}H^{2}_{4}H^{2}_{-1} - \frac{3}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{3} + \frac{13}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1} + 23\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2} - \frac{15}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}\\
&+\frac{15}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{1} + 12\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{2} - 2\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{3} + 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{4} - 2\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1}\\
&+2\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{1} + 8\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{1} + 12\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{4}H^{3}_{1} + 9\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{4} - 8\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}H^{3}_{3} - 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{3}H^{2}_{1}\\
&-\frac{9}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{3} + \frac{3}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{7}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} + 15\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{1} - 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{2}_{2}H^{2}_{1} - \frac{33}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}\\
&+9\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{4} + 9\,H^{2}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}H^{2}_{3} + 7\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} - 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{2}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} - 6\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2} - \frac{3}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{8}H^{3}_{1} - \frac{3}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{7}H^{2}_{3}\\
&-2\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{3}_{3} + 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{2}_{4} + 11\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{2}_{1}}^{3} + 12\,H^{3}_{3}{H^{2}_{1}}^{2} - 18\,{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}H^{2}_{4} + 8\,H^{2}_{2}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} + 2\,{H^{2}_{2}}^{3} - 9\,{H^{2}_{1}}^{4}\\
&-\frac{21}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} - 16\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{1} - 6\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{3} - \frac{9}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} + 30\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{-1}\\
&-8\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{-1}H^{2}_{2} - 18\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{3} + 27\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1}H^{2}_{4}H^{2}_{-1} - \frac{27}{2}\,H^{2}_{3}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{1} - 10\,H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}H^{2}_{1}\\
&-\frac{9}{2}\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{5}H^{2}_{3} - 3\,{H^{2}_{1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6} + 3\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{8}H^{2}_{2} - 8\,H^{3}_{1}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2} + 12\,H^{2}_{4}H^{3}_{3} - 6\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{3}_{3} - 6\,H^{2}_{3}H^{2}_{-1}H^{3}_{3}\\
&+27\,H^{3}_{-1}H^{2}_{-1}{H^{2}_{1}}^{3} - \frac{13}{2}\,H^{3}_{-1}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{6}H^{3}_{1} - 9\,{H^{2}_{4}}^{2} + \frac{29}{2}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}H^{2}_{2}H^{3}_{1} - 4\,{H^{3}_{3}}^{2} - \frac{9}{4}\,{H^{2}_{3}}^{2}{H^{2}_{-1}}^{2} - \frac{21}{4}\,{H^{2}_{-1}}^{4}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2}\\
&+2\,H^{2}_{2}{H^{3}_{-1}}^{4} - 4\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} - 4\,{H^{3}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{2}_{2}}^{2}\Big)\;.
\end{aligned}\tag{210}$$

The coefficients in equation (78) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
a =& -2\,H^2_{-1}\ , \\
b =& 4\,H^3_{-1} + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2\ , \\
c =& 4\,H^3_1 - 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2 - {H^2_{-1}}^2\pi_2 - 2\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1 + {\pi_2}^2 - 2\,H^2_2\ , \\
d =& -4\,H^2_{-1}{\pi_2}^2 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^3\pi_2 + 11\,H^2_1{H^2_{-1}}^2 - 4\,H^2_{-1}H^3_1 + 12\,H^3_{-1}H^2_1 - 5\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_{-1}}^3 - 6\,H^2_{-1}{H^3_{-1}}^2 \\
&+ 2\,H^2_{-1}H^2_2 \\
f =& -2\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{\pi_2}^3 + {H^2_{-1}}^4{\pi_2}^2 + 4\,H^3_1{\pi_2}^2 - 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2{\pi_2}^2 - 4\,{\pi_2}^2H^2_2 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2\pi_2H^2_2 - 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^5H^2_1 \\
&+ 10\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^2_1}^2 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^4{H^3_{-1}}^2 + 6\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^2_2 + H^3_{-1}{H^2_{-1}}^6 - 8\,H^3_3H^3_{-1} + 4\,{H^3_1}^2 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^3 \\
&+ {H^2_2}^2 + {\pi_2}^4 + {H^3_{-1}}^4 - 4\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_1 - 6\,H^2_{-1}H^2_3H^3_{-1} + 9\,H^2_4{H^2_{-1}}^2 - 4\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^3_1 - 6\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_3 \\
&+ 2\,H^2_1H^2_{-1}H^2_2 - 12\,H^2_{-1}{H^3_{-1}}^2H^2_1 - 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_{-1}H^3_1 - \frac{27}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^3_{-1}H^2_1 + 12\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^2_2H^3_{-1} \\
&+ 12\,H^3_{-1}H^2_4 + 12\,H^3_{-1}{H^2_1}^2 - \frac{9}{2}\,H^2_3{H^2_{-1}}^3 - \frac{5}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_1 - 4\,H^2_2H^3_1 + 6\,H^2_2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 4\,H^3_1{H^2_{-1}}^2\pi_2 \\
&+ 2\,{H^3_{-1}}^2{H^2_{-1}}^2\pi_2 + 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^3\pi_2H^2_1 - 4\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1{\pi_2}^2\ , \\
h =& 3\,H^2_{-1}\pi_2 - 4\,{H^2_{-1}}^3 - 3\,H^2_1 - H^2_{-1}H^3_{-1}\ , \\
j =& -{\pi_2}^3 - 3\,H^2_4 + {H^2_{-1}}^6 - \pi_2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 5\,\pi_2{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_{-1} + 3\,\pi_2H^2_{-1}H^2_1 + 3\,\pi_2H^2_2 - 4\,H^3_{-1}H^2_2 \\
&+ \frac{7}{2}\,{H^2_{-1}}^2H^3_1 + \frac{3}{2}\,H^2_{-1}H^2_3 - 6\,H^2_2{H^2_{-1}}^2 + 2\,H^3_{-1}H^3_1 + \frac{1}{2}\,H^2_{-1}H^2_1H^3_{-1} - 3\,{H^2_1}^2 + 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^4H^3_{-1} \\
&- 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^3H^2_1 + {H^2_{-1}}^2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 2\,\pi_2H^3_1 + 2\,H^3_3 - 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^4\pi_2 + 2\,H^3_{-1}{\pi_2}^2 + 3\,{H^2_{-1}}^2{\pi_2}^2\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{211}
$$

D Appendix. Stratum $\Sigma_{1,2}$

The coefficients N_i in the formula (102) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_4 =& -\Big(3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_1 + 3\,H^3_2 + 3\,{H^3}_{-2}{H^3_{-1}}^2 - H^4_{-2}H^5_{-2} - {H^4}_1 - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^5_{-2} - 3\,H^3_{-2}{H^5}_{-1} - 5\,H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^4_{-2} \\
&+{H^3}_{-2}{H^4_{-2}}^2 - H^4_{-1}H^3_{-1} + {H^4}_{-1}H^4_{-2} - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_{-1} - {{H^3}_{-2}}^5 + 2\,H^3_{-1}H^5_{-2} + {H^3_{-2}}^2H^4_{-1} - {H^3_{-2}}^3H^4_{-2}\Big)\ , \\
N_3 =& -\Big(-2\,{H^3_{-2}}^2{H^3}_{-1}H^4_{-2} - H^5_1 - H^4_2 + 3\,{H^3}_3 - 3\,H^4_{-2}{H^3_{-1}}^2 + {H^4_{-2}}^2{H^3}_{-1} + 3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_2 + H^3_{-2}{H^4}_{-1}H^4_{-2} \\
&-H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^5_{-2} - 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^4H^3_{-1} + {H^3_{-1}}^3 - H^4_{-1}H^5_{-2} - H^4_{-2}H^5_{-1} - 3\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^5_{-1} + 3\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^3_1 + 3\,{H^3}_1H^3_{-1} \\
&- H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^4_{-1} + H^4_{-2}H^3_1\Big)\ , \\
N_0 =& -3\,H^3_6 + H^4_5 + H^4_{-2}{H^5}_2 + H^4_{-1}H^5_1 + 3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^5_1 + 3\,H^3_2H^4_{-2}H^3_{-1} + H^3_1H^4_{-1}H^3_{-1} + H^4_1H^5_{-1} - H^3_{-2}{H^4}_2H^4_{-2} \\
&- 2\,H^3_{-2}H^3_2H^4_{-1} + H^3_{-2}H^3_2H^5_{-2} + 3\,H^3_{-2}{H^3}_1H^5_{-1} - 2\,H^5_{-2}H^3_1H^3_{-1} - H^4_3H^4_{-2} + H^5_4 - H^3_4H^4_{-2} + 3\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^5_2 \\
&+ 3\,{H^3_{-1}}^2H^4_1 + 3\,H^4_3H^3_{-1} - 3\,H^3_4{{H^3}_{-2}}^2 - 3\,H^3_2{H^3_{-1}}^2 - 3\,H^3_{-2}{H^3_1}^2 + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^4H^3_2 + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_3 - 2\,H^4_{-1}H^3_3 \\
&- 3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_5 - 2\,H^3_3H^5_{-2} - 3\,{H^3}_4H^3_{-1} - 6\,H^3_2H^3_1 + 3\,{H^3}_{-2}H^5_3 + 3\,H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1}H^4_2 - 6\,H^3_3H^3_{-2}H^3_{-1} \\
&+ 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^3H^3_1H^3_{-1} + 2\,H^3_{-2}H^3_3H^4_{-2} + 2\,{H^3_{-2}}^2H^4_{-2}H^3_2 - H^3_{-1}H^4_1H^4_{-2} - H^3_2{H^4_{-2}}^2 + H^4_2H^5_{-2} - H^3_1H^4_{-1}H^4_{-2} \\
&+ 2\,H^3_{-2}H^4_{-2}H^3_1{H^3}_{-1}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{212}
$$

and for the formula (103) are

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 =&9\, H^3_1 {H^3_{-2}}^2 - H^3_{-2} H^5_{-2} H^4_{-2} - 3\, {H^3_{-2}}^4 H^3_{-1} - 7\, H^5_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 - 3\, {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^5_{-2} + 4\, H^3_{-1} H^3_1 + 2\, H^4_{-1} H^4_{-2} H^3_{-2} \\
&+ 4\, H^3_2 H^3_{-2} - 2\, H^4_1 H^3_{-2} - H^4_2 - H^4_{-2} H^3_1 + {H^4_{-2}}^2 {H^3_{-2}}^2 - 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^3 - 2\, H^3_3 + H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^2 - {H^3_{-2}}^6 + {H^4_{-1}}^2 \\
&- 6\, H^4_{-1} H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} + 2\, H^5_1 + {H^5_{-2}}^2 - 5\, H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^3_{-1} + 3\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 {H^3_{-2}}^2 - 2\, H^5_{-1} H^3_{-1} + 6\, H^3_{-2} H^5_{-2} H^3_{-1} \\
&- 2\, H^4_{-1} H^5_{-2} - H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-2}}^4 + H^5_{-1} H^4_{-2}\ , \\
B_3 =& - \Big(-H^4_1 {H^3_{-2}}^2 + 7\, H^3_2 {H^3_{-2}}^2 + 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^5_{-2} - 5\, {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^5_{-1} - 2\, H^3_1 H^5_{-2} - 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 {H^3_{-2}}^3 - 2\, H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^5 + 5\, H^3_1 {H^3_{-2}}^3 \\
&-H^3_{-1} H^5_{-2} {H^3_{-2}}^2 - H^3_{-1} H^5_{-2} H^4_{-2} + H^3_{-2} H^5_{-1} H^4_{-2} + {H^4_{-2}}^2 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} - H^4_{-2} H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^3 + 10\, H^3_1 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} \\
&-H^4_{-2} H^3_1 H^3_{-2} - H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-1}}^2 - H^4_{-1} H^3_1 + {H^4_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-2} - H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^4 - H^4_{-2} H^3_2 + 4\, H^3_3 H^3_{-2} + 2\, H^5_{-1} H^5_{-2} - 2\, H^4_2 H^3_{-2} \\
&+2\, H^5_2 - H^4_3 - 2\, H^3_4 - 2\, H^3_{-2} H^5_{-1} H^3_{-1} - 4\, H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-2} - 4\, H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^3_{-1} - 2\, H^4_{-1} H^3_{-2} H^5_{-2} + H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-2} \\
&+H^4_{-2} H^3_{-1} H^4_{-1} - 2\, H^4_1 H^3_{-1} \Big)\ , \\
B_0 =&2\, {H^3_{-2}}^4 H^3_{-1} H^3_1 + H^4_{-1} H^3_4 + H^4_2 {H^3_{-1}}^2 - H^4_3 H^4_{-1} - 2\, H^3_2 H^5_{-2} H^3_{-1} - H^3_{-2} H^5_2 H^4_{-2} + 2\, H^4_2 H^3_1 + 6\, H^4_1 {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-2} \\
&+ H^4_{-2} H^3_2 H^5_{-2} + 2\, H^4_{-1} H^3_1 H^5_{-2} - 2\, H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^3_2 - 6\, H^3_4 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} - 2\, H^3_{-2} H^5_2 H^3_{-1} - 2\, H^3_3 H^3_1 + 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^3_1 \\
&+ 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^4 H^3_3 + 2\, H^4_5 H^3_{-2} - 7\, H^3_5 {H^3_{-2}}^2 + 2\, H^4_1 H^3_2 + 2\, H^4_4 H^3_{-1} - 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^5_1 + 5\, {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^5_2 + 2\, H^3_4 H^5_{-2} - 5\, H^3_4 {H^3_{-2}}^3 \\
&- 2\, H^5_3 H^3_{-1} + 5\, H^5_3 {H^3_{-2}}^2 + 2\, H^4_3 H^5_{-2} - 2\, H^5_2 H^5_{-2} + 2\, H^4_1 H^3_{-1} H^5_{-2} + H^4_4 {H^3_{-2}}^2 - 4\, H^3_6 H^3_{-2} - H^5_3 H^4_{-2} \\
&+ {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^5_{-2} H^3_2 + 2\, H^3_1 H^5_{-1} H^3_{-1} + H^4_{-2} H^3_5 - 16\, H^3_{-2} H^3_2 H^3_1 - H^4_2 {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-2} - H^4_{-2} H^3_2 H^4_{-1} + 8\, H^4_3 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} + H^4_6 \\
&+ 2\, H^3_7 + 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^3_3 + 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^5 H^3_2 + 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^3 H^3_1 - H^4_1 H^3_{-1} H^4_{-2} H^3_{-2} - H^4_{-1} H^3_1 H^4_{-2} H^3_{-2} + 4\, H^4_{-2} H^3_2 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} \\
&+ 4\, H^4_{-1} H^3_1 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} - 4\, H^3_{-2} H^5_{-2} H^3_{-1} H^3_1 - 6\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^{3\,2}_1 + 4\, H^5_{-1} H^3_3 - 2\, H^5_{-1} H^5_1 + 6\, H^4_2 {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^3_{-1} \\
&- 10\, H^3_3 H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 + H^4_{-2} H^{3\,2}_1 + 5\, H^3_1 H^5_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 - H^4_3 H^4_{-2} H^3_{-2} + 2\, H^4_2 H^3_{-2} H^5_{-2} - H^4_1 H^3_{-1} H^4_{-1} + H^4_{-2} H^3_{-2} H^3_4 \\
&- 4\, H^3_{-2} H^3_2 {H^3_{-1}}^2 - 4\, H^3_{-2} H^5_{-2} H^3_3 - {H^4_{-2}}^2 H^3_2 H^3_{-2} + 2\, H^4_{-1} H^3_2 H^3_{-1} + 2\, H^4_1 H^3_1 H^3_{-2} + 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^3_{-1} H^3_2 \\
&+ 5\, H^3_{-1} H^5_1 {H^3_{-2}}^2 + H^4_{-1} H^3_1 {H^3_{-2}}^3 - 2\, H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^3_1 + H^4_2 {H^3_{-2}}^4 - {H^4_{-1}}^2 H^3_1 - H^3_1 H^5_{-1} H^4_{-2} + H^{3\,2}_2 - 2\, H^5_5 \\
&+ H^4_{-2} H^3_2 {H^3_{-2}}^3 + 4\, H^5_{-1} H^3_2 H^3_{-2} - H^3_{-1} H^5_1 H^4_{-2} - 4\, H^3_{-1} H^{3\,2}_1 + H^4_3 {H^3_{-2}}^3 + H^4_1 H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^3 - H^4_2 H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{213}$$

For the trigonal curve (106) the coefficients Q_k are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_8 =&4\, H^3_1 - 2\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 + 4\, H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 - {H^3_{-2}}^4 + H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} - {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-2} + 2\, H^4_{-2} H^3_{-1}\ , \\
Q_7 =& - 3\, H^4_{-1} H^4_{-2} - 3\, H^4_1 + 4\, H^3_2 + 4\, {H^3_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-2} + 8\, H^3_1 H^3_{-2} + 2\, H^3_{-2} {H^4_{-2}}^2 - {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-1} - 4\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-2} H^3_{-1} \\
&+ 5\, H^4_{-1} H^3_{-1} + 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^4_{-2}\ , \\
Q_6 =&4\, H^3_3 - 3\, H^4_2 + 4\, H^3_2 H^3_{-2} + 4\, H^3_1 H^3_{-1} - {H^4_{-2}}^3 - 3\, {H^4_{-1}}^2 - {H^3_{-2}}^2 {H^4_{-2}}^2 - 3\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} H^3_{-1} - 5\, H^3_{-2} H^4_1 \\
&- H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} H^4_{-2} + 2\, {H^4_{-2}}^2 H^3_{-1} - H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^2 - 2\, H^4_{-2} H^3_1\ , \\
Q_4 =&{H^3_{-2}}^3 H^4_1 + {H^4_{-1}}^2 H^3_{-1} + 8\, H^3_4 H^3_{-2} - 4\, H^3_3 H^3_{-1} - 3\, H^4_2 H^4_{-2} - 3\, H^4_1 H^4_{-1} - 4\, H^3_2 {H^3_{-2}}^3 - 4\, H^3_1 {H^3_{-1}}^2 \\
&+ 4\, H^3_3 {H^3_{-2}}^2 - 8\, H^3_{-2} H^4_3 - 7\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_2 + 2\, H^4_2 H^3_{-1} + 6\, H^4_{-2} H^3_3 + 2\, {H^4_{-2}}^2 H^3_1 - 2\, H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^3 + 5\, H^4_{-1} H^3_2 \\
&. + H^3_{-2} H^4_{-2} H^4_1 + {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^2 + 4\, H^3_5 - 3\, H^4_4 + 6\, H^{3\,2}_1 + {H^4_{-2}}^2 {H^3_{-1}}^2 - H^3_{-2} H^4_{-2} H^4_{-1} H^3_{-1} - {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^4_{-1} H^3_{-1}\ , \\
&+ {H^3_{-1}}^4 + 8\, H^3_2 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} + 2\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} H^3_1 + 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-2} H^3_1 - 6\, H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} H^4_1 + 4\, H^3_1 H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 + 3\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-1}}^2 \\
&+ 2\, H^4_{-2} H^3_1 H^3_{-1}\ , \\
Q_3 =& - H^4_{-1} {H^3_{-1}}^3 + 8\, H^3_5 H^3_{-2} + 4\, H^3_4 H^3_{-1} + 8\, H^3_2 H^3_1 - 3\, H^4_3 H^4_{-2} - 6\, H^4_2 H^4_{-1} - 3\, H^4_1 {H^4_{-2}}^2 + 6\, {H^4_{-2}}^2 H^3_2 \\
&+ 4\, H^{3\,2}_1 H^3_{-2} + 4\, H^3_2 {H^3_{-1}}^2 + 8\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^3_4 - 8\, H^3_{-2} H^4_4 - 10\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_3 - 4\, H^4_3 H^3_{-1} + 6\, H^4_{-2} H^3_4 - 4\, H^4_1 {H^3_{-1}}^2 \\
&- 4\, {H^3_{-2}}^3 H^4_2 + 9\, H^4_{-1} H^3_3 - 5\, H^3_1 H^4_1 + H^3_{-2} {H^4_{-1}}^2 H^4_{-2} - {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-1} H^4_{-2} H^3_{-1} + 4\, H^4_{-2} H^3_1 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} + 4\, H^3_6 \\
&- 3\, H^4_5 + {H^3_{-2}}^3 {H^4_{-1}}^2 + H^4_{-1} H^4_{-2} H^3_1 - {H^4_{-2}}^2 H^3_{-1} H^4_{-1} - {H^4_{-1}}^3 - 6\, H^4_1 H^3_{-1} {H^3_{-2}}^2 + 5\, H^4_{-1} H^3_1 H^3_{-1} + 6\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} H^3_2 \\
&+ 4\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-2} H^3_3 + 8\, H^3_3 H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} - 2\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_{-1} H^3_1 - 7\, H^3_{-2} H^4_{-1} H^4_1 - 6\, H^4_{-2} H^3_2 H^3_{-1} + 8\, H^4_{-2} H^3_2 {H^3_{-2}}^2 \\
&- 3\, H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} {H^4_{-1}}^2 - 10\, H^3_{-1} H^3_{-2} H^4_2 - 2\, H^3_{-2} H^4_2 H^4_{-2} - 3\, {H^3_{-2}}^2 H^4_1 H^4_{-2} + 5\, H^4_1 H^4_{-2} H^3_{-1} + 2\, H^4_{-1} H^4_{-2} {H^3_{-1}}^2\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{214}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_0 ={}& 8\,H^{3}_{8}{H^{3}}_{-2} + {H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{2}{H^{3}}_{-1} - {H^{4}}_{-2}{H^{3}}_{-1}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-1} + {H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{4}}_{-2} - 13\,{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 4\,H^{3}_{9} - 3\,H^{4}_{8} \\
&- 2\,{H^{3}_{3}}^{2} - 3\,{H^{4}_{2}}^{2} + {{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3}H^{4}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-1} + {H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} + {H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-2} - {{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-1} + {{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} \\
&+ {{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1} - {H^{4}}_{-2}{H^{3}_{2}}^{2} + 4\,{H^{3}_{1}}^{3} - 12\,H^{4}_{1}H^{3}_{2}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 6\,{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{3}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} + 3\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-1} + 3\,{{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&- 2\,{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{5}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 2\,H^{4}_{2}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 16\,H^{3}_{4}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{3}_{1} + 6\,H^{4}_{1}H^{3}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} - 4\,H^{4}_{1}H^{3}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3} + 2\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{3}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 5\,{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{2}H^{4}_{1} \\
&- 12\,H^{4}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{1} + 16\,H^{3}_{6}{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2} - 12\,H^{4}_{3}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-2} + 5\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{4}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 10\,{H^{3}}_{-2}{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{5} + 4\,{H^{3}}_{-2}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{6} \\
&+ 14\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{2}H^{3}_{1} + 8\,H^{3}_{4}{H^{3}}_{-2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} + 4\,H^{3}_{7}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 12\,H^{3}_{5}H^{3}_{1} + 8\,H^{3}_{4}H^{3}_{2} - 3\,H^{4}_{6}{H^{4}}_{-2} - 6\,H^{4}_{5}{H^{4}}_{-1} - 3\,H^{4}_{3}H^{4}_{1} \\
&- 3\,H^{4}_{4}{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2} - 4\,H^{4}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{3} + 3\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} + 6\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{5} - 3\,H^{4}_{2}{{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2} - 3\,{H^{4}_{1}}^{2}{H^{4}}_{-2} + 4\,H^{3}_{5}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} + 8\,{H^{3}_{2}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-1} \\
&- 4\,{H^{3}_{2}}^{2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} + 8\,H^{3}_{7}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} - 2\,{H^{3}_{1}}^{2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} + 4\,H^{3}_{3}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{3} - 8\,{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{7} - 4\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}{H^{4}_{1}}^{2} - 10\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{4}_{6} - 4\,H^{4}_{6}{H^{3}}_{-1} \\
&+ 6\,{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{7} - 4\,H^{4}_{4}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} - 4\,H^{4}_{5}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3} + 9\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{6} - 8\,H^{3}_{1}H^{4}_{4} - 4\,H^{4}_{3}H^{3}_{2} + 3\,H^{3}_{4}H^{4}_{1} + 3\,{H^{4}_{1}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 6\,H^{4}_{2}H^{3}_{3} \\
&+ 2\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{3}H^{3}_{3} + 6\,{{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{3} - 2\,H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-2} + 8\,{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{4}{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2} + 8\,{H^{4}}_{-2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 2\,{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{3}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&+ 8\,H^{3}_{2}{H^{3}}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-2} - 6\,H^{4}_{1}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2} + 16\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2} + 2\,{H^{4}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{2}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 8\,{H^{3}}_{-2}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{2}H^{3}_{1} \\
&+ 4\,{H^{3}}_{-2}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} - 7\,{H^{3}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-1} + 2\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-1} - 2\,H^{4}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2}{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2} + 4\,H^{4}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-2}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&- 2\,H^{4}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3}{H^{4}}_{-2} + 2\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-2} - 2\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-2}{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2} - 4\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{4}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-2}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 2\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&+ 3\,{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}{H^{4}}_{-1} + 2\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 6\,{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{2}H^{3}_{2} - 16\,H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{3} + 2\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-1} + 7\,H^{4}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2} \\
&- 6\,H^{4}_{2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} - 2\,H^{4}_{2}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{1} + 4\,{H^{4}}_{-2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}{H^{3}_{1}}^{2} + 8\,{H^{4}}_{-2}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{5} - 16\,{H^{3}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{5} - 3\,H^{4}_{3}{H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&+ 8\,H^{3}_{3}H^{3}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} + 8\,H^{3}_{5}{H^{3}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} + 8\,H^{3}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{3}_{2} - 10\,{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{4}{H^{4}}_{-1} - 2\,{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{5}{H^{4}}_{-2} - 3\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{4}_{4}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&- 7\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{4}_{3}{H^{4}}_{-1} + 2\,H^{3}_{2}{H^{3}}_{-2}{{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2} + 2\,H^{3}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-2}H^{4}_{1} - 4\,H^{4}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3} + 10\,{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{3}H^{3}_{1} + 9\,H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{2} \\
&+ 9\,{H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{4}}_{-2}H^{3}_{4} - 4\,{H^{4}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3}H^{3}_{3} + 6\,{H^{4}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{4} + 2\,H^{4}_{4}{H^{4}}_{-2}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 16\,H^{4}_{4}{H^{3}}_{-1}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2} + 2\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{4}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-1} \\
&- 2\,{H^{3}}_{-2}{{H^{4}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{4}_{1} - 4\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}{H^{3}}_{-1}H^{4}_{2} - 5\,H^{3}_{1}H^{4}_{1}{H^{4}}_{-1} - 2\,H^{4}_{3}{H^{3}}_{-2}{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2} - 5\,H^{4}_{3}{H^{4}}_{-1}{H^{3}}_{-1} - 2\,H^{4}_{3}{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{3}{H^{4}}_{-2} \\
&- 4\,H^{4}_{1}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{3}{H^{3}}_{-2} - 4\,{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{2}H^{3}_{1} + 2\,{H^{4}}_{-2}{{H^{3}}_{-1}}^{3}H^{3}_{1} + 2\,{{H^{3}}_{-2}}^{2}{{H^{4}}_{-2}}^{2}H^{3}_{3} + 6\,{H^{4}}_{-1}H^{3}_{1}{H^{3}}_{-2}{H^{4}}_{-2}{H^{3}}_{-1}\ .
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Hodge, W.V.D., Pedoe, D.: *Methods of Algebraic Geometry, I.* New York: Cambridge University Press, (1947)

[2] Shafarevich I.R.: *Basic algebraic geometry, I.* Berlin: Springer Verlag (1977)

[3] Griffiths, P.; Harris, J.: *Principles of algebraic geometry* Pure and Applied Mathematics, New York: John Wiley & Sons (1978)

[4] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P. A.; Harris, J.: *Geometry of algebraic curves, I.* Springer-Verlag, New York (1985)

[5] Harris, J.: *Algebraic geometry: a first course.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1992)

[6] Donagi, R.Y.: On the geometry of Grassmannians. Duke Math. J. **44** , no. 4, 795–837 (1977)

[7] Papantonopoulou, A.: Curves in Grassmann varieties. Nagoya Math. J. **66**, 121–137 (1977)

[8] Canuto, G.: Associated curves and Plücker formulas in Grassmannians. Invent. Math. **53**, no. 1, 77–90 (1979)

[9] Strømme, S. A.: On parametrized rational curves in Grassmann varieties. Space curves (Rocca di Papa, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math. **1266**, Berlin:Springer, 251–272 (1987)

[10] Perkinson, D.: Curves in Grassmannians. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**, no. 9, 3179–3246 (1995)

[11] Sottile, F.: Real rational curves in Grassmannians. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13**, no. 2, 333–341 (2000)

[12] Sato, M.: Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold. RIMS Kokyuroky **439**, 30–46 (1981)

[13] Sato, M., Sato, Y.: Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold. Nonlinear partial differential equations in applied science, 259–271, North-Holland Math. Stud., **81**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

[14] Gardner, C.S., Greene, J.M., Kruskal, M.D., Miura, R.M.: Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Phys.Rev.Lett. **19**, 1095-1097 (1967)

[15] Zakharov, V. E., Manakov, S. V., Novikov, S. P., Pitaevskii, L. P.: *Theory of solitons. The method of the inverse problem.* (Russian) “Nauka”, Moscow (1980) translated in Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, Consultants Bureau [Plenum], New York (1984)

[16] Ablowitz, M. J., Segur, H.: *Solitons and the inverse scattering transform.* SIAM Studies in Applied Mathematics, 4. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, Pa., (1981)

[17] Date, E., Kashiwara, M., Jimbo, M., Miwa, T.: Transformation groups for soliton equations. *Nonlinear integrable systems–classical theory and quantum theory.* pp. 39–119, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1983.

[18] Takasaki, K.: A new approach to the self-dual Yang Mills equations. Comm. Math. Phys. **94**, no. 1, 35–59 (1984)

[19] Segal, G., Wilson, G.: Loop groups and equations of KdV type., Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 61, 5–65 (1985)

[20] Pressley, A., Segal, G.: *Loop Groups.* Oxford University Press (1988)

[21] Shiota, T.: Characterization of Jacobian varieties in terms of soliton equations. Invent. Math. **83**, no. 2, 333–382 (1986)

[22] Witten, E.: Quantum field theory, Grassmannians, and algebraic curves. Comm. Math. Phys. **113**, no. 4, 529–600 (1988)

[23] Arbarello, E., De Concini, C., Kac, V.G., Procesi, C.: Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory. Comm. Math. Phys. **117**, no. 1, 1–36 (1988)

[24] Mulase, M.: Category of vector bundles on algebraic curves and infinite-dimensional Grassmannians. Internat. J. Math. **1**, no. 3, 293–342 (1990)

[25] Vanhaecke, P.: Stratifications of hyperelliptic Jacobians and the Sato Grassmannian. Acta Appl. Math. **40**, no. 2, 143–172 (1995)

[26] Muñoz Porras, J. M., Plaza Martín, F. J.: Equations of the moduli of pointed curves in the infinite Grassmannian. J. Differential Geom. **51**, no. 3, 431–469 (1999)

[27] Gómez González, E., Muñoz Porras, J. M., Plaza Martín, F. J.: Prym varieties, curves with automorphisms and the Sato Grassmannian. Math. Ann. **327**, no. 4, 609–639 (2003)

[28] Takasaki, K.: Elliptic spectral parameter and infinite-dimensional Grassmann variety. Infinite dimensional algebras and quantum integrable systems. Progr. Math. **237**, 175–203 (2005)

[29] Hernández-Serrano, D., Muñoz Porras, J. M., Plaza Martín, J. Equations of the moduli of Higgs pairs and infinite Grassmannian. Internat. J. Math. **20**, no. 8, 1029–1055 (2009)

[30] Abbondandolo, A., Majer, P.: Infinite dimensional Grassmannians. J. Operator Theory **61**, no. 1, 19–62 (2009)

[31] Dubrovin, B.A., Matveev, V.B., Novikov, S.P.: Nonlinear equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, finite-band linear operators and Abelian varieties. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **31**, no. 1 (187), 55–136 (1976) translated in Russian Math. Surveys, **31** n. 1, pag. 59-146 (1976)

[32] Belokolos, E.D., Bobenko, A.I., Enol'skii, V.Z., Its, A.R., Matveev, V.B.: *Algebro-geometric approach to nonlinear integrable equations.* Berlin, Springer Verlag, (1994)

[33] Krichever, I.M.: Integration of nonlinear equations by the methods of algebraic geometry, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **11**, 15–31 (1977)

[34] Krichever, I.M.: Method of algebraic geometry in the theory of nonlinear equations, Russ. Math. Surv., **32** 185–214 (1977)

[35] Mulase, M.: A correspondence between an infinite Grassmannian and arbitrary vector bundles on algebraic curves. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **49**, 39–50 (1989)

[36] Mulase, M.: Normalization of the Krichever data. Contemp. Math., **136**, 297–304 (1990)

[37] Adams, M. R., Bergvelt, M. J.: The Krichever map, vector bundles over algebraic curves, and Heisenberg algebras. Comm. Math. Phys. **154** , no. 2, 265–305 (1993)

[38] Falqui, G, Reina, C., Zampa, A.: Krichever maps, Faà di Bruno polynomials, and cohomology in KP theory. Lett. Math. Phys. **42**, no. 4, 349–361 (1997)

[39] Schwarz, A. Grassmannian and string theory. Comm. Math. Phys. **199**, no. 1, 1–24 (1998)

[40] Parshin, A.N.: The Krichever correspondence for algebraic surfaces. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **35**, no. 1, 88–90 (2001) translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **35**, no. 1, 74–76 (2001)

[41] Osipov, D.V.: The Krichever correspondence for algebraic varieties. (Russian) Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **65**, no. 5, 91–128 (2001) translation in Izv. Math. **65**, no. 5, 941–975 (2001)

[42] Krichever, I.: Vector bundles and Lax equations on algebraic curves. Comm. Math. Phys. **229**, no. 2, 229–269 (2002)

[43] Osipov, D.V.: The infinite-dimensional Sato Grassmannian and coherent sheaves of rank 2 on curves. (Russian) Mat. Sb. **194**, no. 11, 81–94 (2003) translation in Sb. Math. **194**, no. 11-12, 1665–1678 (2003)

[44] Buchstaber, V.M., Krichever, I.M.: Integrable equations, addition theorems, and the Riemann–Schottky problem. Russ Math Surv **61** 19–78 (2006)

[45] Kodama, Y., Konopelchenko, B.G.: Singular sector of the Burgers-Hopf hierarchy and deformations of hyperelliptic curves. J. Phys. A 35, no. 31, L489-L500 (2002)

[46] Gutzwiller, L., Mitchell, S. A.: The topology of Birkhoff varieties. Transform. Groups **14**, no. 3, 541–556 (2009)

[47] Adler, M., van Moerbeke, P.: Birkhoff strata, Bäcklund transformations, and regularization of isospectral operators. Adv. Math. **108**, no. 1, 140–204 (1994)

[48] Mañas, M.; Martínez Alonso, L., Medina, E.: Zero sets of τ-functions and hidden hierarchies of KdV type. J. Phys. A **30**, no. 13, 4815–4824 (1997)

[49] Konopelchenko B.G., Martínez Alonso, L., Medina, E.: Hidden integrable hierarchies of AKNS type. J. Phys. A **32**, no. 19, 3621–3635

[50] Konopelchenko B.G., Martínez Alonso, L., Medina, E.: Singular sector of the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy, $\overline{\partial}$ operators of nonzero index, and associated integrable systems. J. Math. Phys. **41**, no. 1, 385–413 (2000)

[51] Konopelchenko, B.G., Magri, F.: Coisotropic deformations of associative algebras and dispersionless integrable hierarchies. Comm. Math. Phys. **274**, no. 3, 627–658 (2007)

[52] Konopelchenko, B.G., Magri, F.: Dispersionless integrable equations as coisotropic deformations: extensions and reductions. Theor. Math. Physics, **151**, 803-819 (2007)

[53] Buchstaber, V.M., Leikin, D.V., Enolskii, V.Z.: Rational analogues of Abelian functions. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **33**:2, 115 (1999) translated in Functional Anal. Appl. **33**, 8394 (1999)

[54] Hurwitz, A., Courant, R.: *Theory of functions.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1964)

[55] Silverman, J.: *Arithmetic of elliptic curves.* Springer- Verlag, Berlin (1986)

[56] Cox D., Little S., O'Shea: *Ideals varieties and algorithms.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin (1992)

[57] Walker, R.J.: *Algebraic Curves.* Princeton Mathematical Series **13**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. (1950)

[58] Baker, H. F.: Abelian functions, Cambridge, 1987

[59] Hochschild, G.: On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra. Ann. of Math. **2** 46, 58–67 (1945)

[60] Harrison, D. K.: Commutative algebras and cohomology. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** 191–204 (1962)

[61] Gerstenhaber, M.: On the deformation of rings and algebras. Ann. of Math. **2** 79, 59–103 (1964)

[62] Nijenhuis, A., Richardson, R.W. Jr.,: Commutative algebra cohomology and deformations of Lie and associative algebras. J. Algebra **9**, 42–53 1968

[63] Barr, M., Harrison homology, Hochschild homology and triples. J. Algebra **8**, 314–323 (1968)

[64] Palamodov, V.P., Deformations of complex spaces. (Russian) Uspehi Mat. Nauk **31**, no. 3(189), 129–194 (1976)

[65] Schlessinger, M., Stasheff, J., The Lie algebra structure of tangent cohomology and deformation theory. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **38**, no. 2-3, 313–322 (1985)

[66] Omori, H., Maeda, Y., Yoshioka, A.: Deformation quantizations of Poisson algebras. Symplectic geometry and quantization. Contemp. Math., **179**, 213–240 (1994)

[67] Gutt, S.: On some second Hochschild cohomology spaces for algebras of functions on a manifold. Lett. Math. Phys. **39**, no. 2, 157–162 (1997)

[68] Kontsevich, M.: Deformation quantization of algebraic varieties. Lett. Math. Phys. **56**, no. 3, 271–294 (2001)

[69] Frønsdal, C.: Harrison cohomology and abelian deformation quantization on algebraic varieties. 149–161, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. **1**, de Gruyter, Berlin (2002)

[70] Frønsdal, C.: Quantization on curves. With an appendix by Kontsevich M. Lett. Math. Phys. **79**, no. 2, 109–129 (2007)

[71] Zakharov, V.E.: Benney equations and quasiclassical approximation in the inverse problem method. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **14**, no. 2, 15–24 (1980)

[72] Krichever, I.M.: The averaging method for two-dimensional "integrable" equations. (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **22**, no. 3, 37–52, 96 (1988) translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **22**, no. 3, 200–213 (1989)

[73] Kodama, Y.: A method for solving the dispersionless KP equation and its exact solutions. Phys. Lett. A **129**, no. 4, 223–226 (1988)

[74] Dubrovin, B.A., Novikov, S.P.: Hydrodynamics of weakly deformed soliton lattices. Differential geometry and Hamiltonian theory. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **44**, no. 6(270), 29–98, 203 (1989) translation in Russian Math. Surveys **44**, no. 6, 35–124 (1989)

[75] Takasaki, K., Takebe, T.: SDiff(2) KP hierarchy. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, **7**, 889-922 (1992)

[76] Krichever, I.M.The τ-function of the universal Whitham hierarchy, matrix models and topological field theories. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **47**, no. 4, 437–475 (1994)

[77] Weinstein, A.: Coisotropic calculus and Poisson groupoids. J. Math. Soc. Japan **40**, no. 4, 705–727 (1988)

[78] Givental, A., Bumsig, K.: Quantum cohomology of flag manifolds and Toda lattices. Comm. Math. Phys. **168**, no. 3, 609–641 (1995)

[79] Konopelchenko, B. G., Ortenzi, G.: Coisotropic deformations of algebraic varieties and integrable systems. J. Phys. A **42**, no. 41, 415207, 18 pp. (2009)